

**IN THE COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, AT NANJANGUD**

**O.S.No.103/2024**

**Plaintiffs** : Maramma and others  
**-vs-**

**Defendants** : Sanamma and others

**I S S U E S**

- 1) Whether the plaintiffs prove that suit schedule property is the ancestral and joint family property ?
- 2) Whether the plaintiffs further prove that the defendants denied to make partition?
- 3) Whether the plaintiffs further prove that the agreement of sale dated 20.11.2023 registered in the name of 17<sup>th</sup> defendant is not binding to their share?
- 4) Whether the plaintiffs are entitled to get mesne profits?
- 5) Whether the defendants No.1 to 15 prove that suit has no cause of action?
- 6) Whether the defendants No.1 to 15 further prove that suit schedule property cannot be considered as joint family property because that property was existed exclusively in the hands of defendants No.1 to 16?
- 7) Whether the plaintiffs are entitled to get reliefs as sought in the plaint?
- 8) What order or decree?

Dated this the 15<sup>th</sup> day of September 2025

(KAMALAKSHA D.)  
Senior Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,  
Nanjangud.