

89 CT CASES 624809/2016 90 CT CASES 624810/2016 91 CT CASES
611715/2016 92 CT CASES 630487/2016 93 CT CASES 612325/2016 94 CT
CASES 4181/2017 95 CT CASES 4182/2017 96 CT CASES 4184/2017 97 CT
CASES 4189/2017 99 CT CASES 5516/2019

INTEC CAPITAL LTD Vs. PUNJAB TUBES
LTD ORS /0 (KALKAJI)

INTEC CAPITAL LIMITED Vs. DALIP
WADHAWAN /0 (KALKAJI)

13.08.2026

Present: Mr Vinod Kumar, Ld. Counsel for complainant along with AR
of the complainant.

An application for substitution of AR accompanied with copy of
board resolution and letter of authority is moved. AR is allowed to be substituted.

AR of the complainant submits that the **matter has been amicably
settled** with the accused and payment has been received from the accused.

Let the statement of the AR of the complainant be recorded to this
effect.

In terms of the statement of the AR of the complainant, the matter
has been settled as compounded. As per Section 147 of the NI Act, the offence
under Section 138 NI Act is compoundable.

**As such, the present complaint is disposed of as compounded and
the accused is hereby Acquitted.**

Personal Bond / Surety Bond, if any, stands cancelled and surety, if any, stands discharged. Endorsement on any document, if any, be cancelled. Original documents of the surety, if any, be returned.

File be consigned to Record Room after necessary compliance.

(Shruti Sharma-I)
JMFC (NI Act-01), South-East,
Saket Courts, New Delhi, 13.08.2026