

Witness present and duly sworn on 19.02.2021.

CROSS-EXAMINATION by Sri.MNM ADVOCATE FOR DEFENDANT No.1 & 2:

(Learned counsel for the defendant No.1 & 2 is present and seeks time for cross examination of PW.1, for which the learned counsel for the plaintiff has objected for granting of time for cross of PW.1. Perused the order sheet and case papers, found sufficient time has been granted to the defendant No.1 & 2 for cross examination of PW.1, but did not do so. Thereby, prayer of learned counsel for defendant No.1 & 2 is hereby rejected and taken as no cross of PW.1)

CROSS-EXAMINATION by Sri.RM ADVOCATE FOR DEFENDANT No.3:

Since, 1998 I am doing practicing Chartered Accountant. I am staying at Ananthapur. Through my known person namely G.Praveenkumar has been introduced the defendant No.1. G.Praveenkumar is the resident of Bengaluru. I am aware of the defendant No.2 one Tumate Ravikumar is none other than the husband of defendant No.1. In the month of July 2012, I have entered the agreement with the defendant No.1 & 2 and the sale agreement was got registered. I am aware of the addendum agreement of sale marked as Ex.P.2. It is true Ex.P.2 is not the registered addendum agreement of sale. In the month of March 2015, I have entered the addendum agreement of sale with D.1 & D.2. It is not true to suggest in order to overcome the lapses I have entered the addendum of agreement of sale with the defendant No.1 &

2 in the month of March 2015. It is not true to suggest myself and defendant No.1 & 2 were colluding with each other with an intention to cheat the defendant No.3.

It is not true to suggest I am very much aware about the loan agreement in between the defendant No.1 & 2 with Defendant No.3.

(Further cross examination is deferred at the request of counsel for defendant No.3)

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

**(P.J. SOMASHEKARA)
LXXXVIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.**