

Case put up at the request of accused. Accused present.

Counsel for accused files bail application U/s 436 of Cr.P.C and 70(2) of Cr.P.C and prays for release the accused on bail.

Perused record it is noticed that the complainant has filed complaint alleged that, the accused has committed Offence Punishable U/s 138 NI Act.

The offence punishable under Section 138 of NI Act is bailable in nature. Accordingly, accused is released on bail subject to the condition that, he shall execute personal bond of Rs.10,000/- with one surety for the like sum and shall appear before this court on all hearing dates.

Surety by name Sri. Ganesha S/o Late Annegowda, Aged about 50 years, R/at Hosuru Village, Chunchanakatte Hobli, Saligrama Taluk, Mysuru District is present.

He has sworn his affidavit, and produced his RTC in respect of his Land bearing Sy.No.16/27 to an extent 0.02.08.00 guntas situated at Hosuru Village, Chunchanakatte Hobli, Saligrama Taluk and xerox copy of Aadhar Card. He is offered as surety to the accused.

Office is directed to take Bond from accused as well as surety.

Substance of accusation stated to the accused and he asked whether he pleads guilty or has any defence to make. However, the accused pleads not guilty and submits he has defense to make.

The sworn statement of the complainant filed by him during per-summoning stage is considered as the evidence of the complainant as per the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in the case of **Indian Bank Association and others versus Union of India and others** (2014) 5 SCC 590 and also as per the observations made by our Hon'ble High Court in the case of M/s. Mesh Trans Gears Private Limited versus Dr. R.Parvathreddy ILR 2014 KAR 5237. Though, there is no stage and necessity to mark the documents. The cheque bearing No.608041 dated 20.04.2023 for Rs.1,50,000/- drawn on Canara Bank, K.R.Nagar branch is marked as Ex.P-1, sign of accused as Ex.P-1(a), Bank endorsement is marked as Ex.P-2, Copy of Legal notice is marked as Ex.P-3, One postal receipt is marked as Ex.P-4, One unserved postal cover is marked as Ex.P-5 for the purpose of identification and also to enable the accused to know about the documents relied by the complaint.

Statement of the accused is recorded U/s 313 of Cr.PC and to explain circumstances appearing in the evidence against him and

accused has denied the same and he has chosen to lead his evidence.

Accused has not filed U/Sec.145(2) of N.I.Act seeking permission for cross examination of PW-1. Hence, case posted for defense evidence.

For defense evidence, call on 11.09.2025.

Sr. Civil Judge and JMFC.,
K.R.Nagar.