

Accused by Name
Sri.Manjunayaka.B.P S/o
Puttaswamynayaka, Aged about 43
years, R/at. Ward No.6,
Meenakshipuram block, K.R.Nagar
Taluk, Mysuru District is produced
before the Court through PC No.421 of
K.R.Nagar, P.S. under non bailable
warrant at about 12.55 pm.

On enquiry the accused submits he
has arrested at 06.30 A.M today.
Further, he submits that, the police have
not given any illegal treatment during his
custody.

Sri MCS., Advocate seeking
permission to obtain signature from the
accused on the vakalath. According
Permission is granted.

Sri MCS., Advocate files vakalath for
accused along with bail application U/s
478 of BNSS prays for release the
accused on bail.

Perused private complaint it appears
that, the complainant has filed this
complaint alleging that, the accused
committed an offence punishable Us 138
of NI Act.

The offence punishable under
Section 138 of NI Act is bailable in
nature. Accordingly, accused is released
on bail subject to the condition that, he
shall execute personal bond of
Rs.10,000/- with one surety for the like
sum and shall appear before this court
on all hearing dates.

Surety by name Smt Gowramma W/
o Mahadevanayaka, Aged about 56
years, R/at Chandagalu Village,

K.R.Nagar Taluk, Mysuru District is present.

She has sworn her affidavit, and produced House Property bearing No. 152200300103800296 to an extent 9.144+18.288 situated at Chandagalu village, K.R.Nagar taluk and Xerox copy of Aadhar Card. She is accepted as surety to the accused.

Office is directed to take Bond from accused as well as surety.

In view of granting bail, NBW issued against the accused is hereby recalled and subject to payment on penalty of Rs.500/-.

Substance of accusation stated to the accused and he asked whether he pleads guilty or has any defence to make. However, the accused pleads not guilty and submits he has defense to make.

The sworn statement of the complainant filed by him during per-summoning stage is considered as the evidence of the complainant as per the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in the case of **Indian Bank Association and others versus Union of India and others** (2014) 5 SCC 590 and also as per the observations made by our Hon'ble High Court in the case of M/s. Mesh Trans Gears Private Limited versus Dr. R.Parvathreddy ILR 2014 KAR 5237. Though, there is no stage and necessity to mark the documents. The cheque bearing No.154377 date 22.06.2022 for Rs.4,00,000/- drawn on Canara Bank, K.R.Nagar branch is marked as Ex.P-1, sign of accused as Ex.P-1(a), Bank endorsement is marked as Ex.P-2, Legal notice is marked as

Ex.P-3, One postal receipt is marked as Ex.P-4 and one unserved postal cover is marked as Ex.P-5 for the purpose of identification and also to enable the accused to know about the documents relied by the complaint.

Statement of the accused is recorded U/s 313 of Cr.P.C. Accused has chosen to lead his evidence.

Accused has not filed U/Sec.145(2) of N.I.Act seeking permission for cross examination of PW-1. Hence, case posted for defense evidence.

For defense evidence, call on 17.09.2025.

Sr. CJ and JMFC.,
K.R.Nagar.