

Accused by Name Sri. Puttalakshamma W/o Late D. Javaraiah, R/at. Kanuganahalli Village, Thippuru Post, Kasaba Hobli, K.R.Nagar Taluk, Mysuru District is produced before the Court through WPC No.321 of K.R.Nagar, P.S. under non bailable warrant at about 5.40 P.M.

On enquiry the accused submits he has arrested at 12.30 A.M today. Further, he submits that, the police have not given any illegal treatment during his custody.

Further, she submits that, she unable to engage to prosecute the case and she seeking Legal Aid from TLSA. According, the Panel Advocate who is working at front office at court premises **Smt. Poornima S.C.**, as appointed as advocate for the accused.

Smt. Poornima S.C Advocate seeking permission to obtain signature from the accused on the vakalath. According Permission is granted.

Smt. Poornima S.C, Advocate files vakalath for accused along with bail application U/s 478 of BNSS Act prays for release the accused on bail.

Perused private complaint it appears that, the complainant has filed this complaint alleging that, the accused committed an offence punishable Us 138 of NI Act.

The offence punishable under Section 138 of NI Act is bailable in nature. Accordingly, accused is released on bail subject to the condition that, he shall execute personal bond of Rs.10,000/-.

Further, she prays time to furnish surety.

Substance of accusation stated to the accused and she asked the questions stated in the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **SANJABIJ TARI V/s KISHORE S. BORCAR AND ANOTHER (CRIMINAL APPEAL No. 1755/2010)** and recorded response to the questions in the form substance of accusation and she asked whether she pleads guilty or has any defence to make.

However, the accused admission the cheque belongs to her account and signature does not belongs to her and further stated that, the cheque has not been issued to the complainant further she has pleads not guilty and submits she has defense to make.

Statement of the accused is recorded U/s 355 of BNSS Act. Accused has chosen to lead his evidence.

The sworn statement of the complainant filed by him during per-summoning stage is considered as the evidence of the complainant as per the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in the case of **Indian Bank Association and others**

versus Union of India and others
(2014) 5 SCC 590 and also as per the observations made by our Hon'ble High Court in the case of M/s. Mesh Trans Gears Private Limited versus Dr. R.Parvathreddy ILR 2014 KAR 5237. Though, there is no stage and necessity to mark the documents. The cheque bearing No.362277 date 11.03.2025 for Rs.4,20,000/- drawn on Canara Bank, Thippuru branch is marked as Ex.P-1, Bank endorsement is marked as Ex.P-2, Legal notice is marked as Ex.P-3, One postal receipt is marked as Ex.P-4 and One postal acknowledgment is marked as Ex.P-5 for the purpose of identification and also to enable the accused to know about the documents relied by the complaint.

Office is directed to take Bond from accused.

In view of granting bail, NBW issued against accused is recalled.

Accused has not filed U/Sec.145(2) of N.I.Act seeking permission for cross examination of PW-1. Hence, case posted for defense evidence.

For furnishing surety and defense evidence, call on 17.01.2026.

Sr. Civil Judge and JMFC.,
K.R.Nagar.