

**IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
WEST SINGHBHUM AT CHAIBASA**

Schedule XLII-High Court No.(J) 9 [Old (M) 164]

FORM OF ORDER SHEET
Misc. Cri. Application No. 756/2026
C.N.R. No. JHCB03-001606-2026
Chaibasa Muffasil P.S. Case No. 204/2025

Sl. No	Date of order of proceeding	Order with the signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4
	22.08.2026	Sonu KachhapAccused/Petitioner. Versus State of Jharkhand Prosecution. For the Accused:- Sri Vivek Sharma, Learned Adv. For the State:- Smt. Monika Tripathi, Ld. A.P.P. <u>ORDER</u> A Surrender-cum-bail petition along with fresh Vakalatnama had been filed on behalf of petitioner/accused namely, Sonu Kachhap, arising out of Chaibasa Muffasil P.S. Case No. 204/2025, u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 351(2), 351(3)/3(5) of B.N.S. Copy of the same has already been served to the Ld. A.P.P. Accused/petitioner is taken into judicial custody. Heard both sides. The Ld. Defence counsel submitted that accused/petitioner is wanted in this case, as such, he is voluntarily surrendering in the Court. It has also been submitted that in this case, till date no	

Misc. Criminal Application No. 756/2026 in relation with Chaibasa Muffasil P.S. Case No. 204/2025

**IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
WEST SINGHBHUM AT CHAIBASA**

Cont....02 22.08.2026	other petition for bail has been moved on behalf of the petitioners either in this Court or in any other Higher Court and petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He is falsely been implicated in this case due to previous grudge and enmity. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the accused/petitioner. He is ready and willing to furnish good and sufficient sureties for his release on bail. On the other hand, Ld. A.P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail. Perused the case record and heard both the parties on the point of bail. From the perusal of the record, it comes to knowledge that the case diary was called while hearing bail petition, filed through Misc. Criminal Application No. 479 of 2026, of other accused persons namely, Suraj Toppo and Ganesh Kujur. Further, perusal of the said case-diary shows that in paragraph 51, the Supervisory Authority of Investigation had opined that clinching evidence could not be collected as far as section 109 of B.N.S. is concerned and therefore, the deletion of section 109 B.N.S. seems proper.	
----------------------------------	---	--

**IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
WEST SINGHBHUM AT CHAIBASA**

Cont....03 22.08.2026	Since, the only non-bailable section imposed through F.I.R. on accused/petitioner is no longer in contention, as far as investigation of the case is concerned, this Court is of the considered view that the bail petition of accused/petitioner is liable to be allowed. Hence, I am inclined to enlarge the accused/ petitioner namely, Sonu Kachhap, on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each with condition that petitioner/accused will co-operate in investigation and would submit an undertaking through which he would disclose his Mobile number and Permanent address and would undertake that he would not change the both till the final disposal of this case without the prior permission of the Court. The petitioner would also submit photocopy of his Aadhar Card. Accordingly, Misc. Criminal Application No. 756/2026 is hereby allowed and disposed of. (Dictated) (Achhat Srivastava) Chief Judicial Magistrate Chaibasa. J.O. Code JH0655	
----------------------------------	---	--