

~1~

CS (COMM) No.104/26
M/s Synokem Pharmaceuticals Ltd. v. Tariq Ahmad Shah

Proceedings conducted through Hybrid Mode

11.07.2026

Present: Mr.Dhruv Chhabra counsel for plaintiff through VC.
Mr.Adil Mundir Andrabi counsel for defendant through VC.

1. Report received from Mediation Centre shows that as the period of 90 days i.e. maximum period provided for mediation process already lapsed, the matter was sent back to the Court. The Mediator has reported that if elements of settlement are assessed in the matter during further proceedings of the case, it may again be referred for mediation.

2. I am informed that parties are still in negotiation and trying their level best to amicably resolve their disputes. On request of counsel for parties, the mandate of mediation is extended for a further period of two weeks. The parties are re-referred to Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for exploring possibility of an amicable settlement of dispute. Copy of order be sent to Mediation Centre for information and necessary action.

3. Parties are directed to appear before learned Mediator appointed in this case for exploring possibility of settlement on **14.07.2026 at 2:15 PM.**

4. Awaiting report from Mediation Centre, list on **25.07.2026.** No further opportunity shall be granted to the parties for mediation. In case of non-settlement of dispute, plaintiff shall come prepared for its evidence on

the next date of hearing. Plaintiff shall file the affidavit of evidence of its witnesses at least three days before the next date of hearing with advance copy to counsel for defendant.

(Raj Kumar Tripathi)

District Judge (Commercial Court-05)

West Distt./THC/Delhi/11.07.2026