

IN THE COURT OF THE PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND
JMFC, HUNSUR

O.S. No. 120/2021

Plaintiffs : Smt. Aruna & another

V/s

Defendants : Smt. Anithamma & others

ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiffs prove that the suit schedule properties are the ancestral joint family properties of themselves and defendants No. 1 to 3 available for partition?
2. Whether the plaintiffs prove that they are entitled for 1/5th share each in the suit schedule properties by metes and bounds?
3. Whether the defendant No. 4 proves that the defendants No. 1 to 3 have alienated the item No. 3 to 5 schedule properties for their family necessities and to discharge the hand loan as stated in the para No. 8 of the written statement?
4. Whether the defendant No. 4 further proves that he is the bonafide purchaser of the suit item No. 3 to 5 schedule properties?

5. Whether the defendant No. 4 proves that the suit of the plaintiff is bad for non joinder of necessary parties?
6. Whether the defendant No. 4 further proves that the suit of the plaintiff is bad for non inclusion of all the family properties in the hatch pot?
7. Whether the plaintiffs prove that they are entitled for the relief of partition and separate possession as prayed for?
8. Whether plaintiffs prove that they are entitled for mesne profits as prayed for?
9. What order or decree?

Dated 15th day of October, 2022.

(SHIRIN JAVEED ANSARI)

Prl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC
Hunsur