

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL  
JUDGE AND JMFC, HUNSUR**

**O.S. 127/2020**

Plaintiff

:

Somappa H.S.

V/s

Defendants

:

Parvathamma and 2 others

**ISSUES**

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that the suit schedule properties are the ancestral joint family properties of plaintiffs and defendants, available for partition themselves and defendants are in joint possession of the suit schedule properties?
2. Whether the plaintiff to proves that they are entitled for 1/3<sup>rd</sup> share in the suit schedule properties by metes and bounds?
3. Whether the defendant No.2 the partition as stated in para No. 8 and 11 of the written statement ?
4. Whether the defendant No.2 proves that suit of the plaintiff is bad for non-inclusion of all the joint family properties in the suit as stated in para No.16 of his written statement?
5. Whether the defendant No.2 proves that a suit of the plaintiff is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties?
6. Whether the defendant No.2 proves that property in Sy. No. 234/1A2 is the self-acquired property of the defendant No.1 hence the defendant No.2 is entitled for 1/3<sup>rd</sup> share in the said property?

7. Whether the defendant No.3 proves that he is entitled for the relief of partition and separate possession in respect of his share as prayed for?

Dated 22<sup>nd</sup> Day of February, 2022.

  
(Shirin Javeed Ansari)  
Addl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC  
Hunsur