

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE FIRST CLASS
COURT NO. 10, NAGPUR.

Misc.Cri.Appln.No. 1996/2026

Applicant - **Mohanlal S/o. Radhayshyam Gupta,**
Age – 52, Occu. - Business,
R/o. 143, Santosh Nagar, New Sanganer
Road, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

Versus

Non-applicant - **1. The State of Maharashtra,**
through Cyber Police Station,
Nagpur and others.

Advocate for applicant - **M. S. Gupta**
Advocate for non-applicant - **Smt. Priyanka Chopde.**

ORDER BELOW EXH.1
(Passed on dated 07th August, 2026)

This is an application filed under Section 503 of BNSS for defreezing the bank account in the name of **Tirupati Sales Corporation** maintained with **Kotak Mahindra Bank, Branch at North Avenue, Jaipur, Rajasthan** bearing **Current A/c No. 1412663777** of applicant namely Mohanlal S/o. Radhayshyam Gupta. The applicant further submits that on the complaint of one person with Cyber Police Station bearing Complaint No. 31912240183942 and FIR No. 147/2024 the above account of the applicant have been freezed for the amount of Rs. 51,729/- The said transaction was done on 17/12/2024 for the amount of Rs. 51,729/- through UPI bearing

transaction ID No. 662617285243 against the Tax Invoice No. SL/24-25/4861 dated 17/12/2024 in the aforesaid current account of the applicant. The applicant has no role in the present matter. The advocate for applicant orally submitted that, his aforesaid account was at Layer-8, therefore, he has no objection if the disputed amount of Rs. 51,729/- is kept lien. Hence, prayed that his above referred account may kindly be defreezed.

2. The learned APP and investigating officer has submitted that, the victim namely Shri. Gajanan Pundlikrao Bokde lodged the report for Cyber Fraud with him to the tune of Rs. 44,82,200/- under pretext of Digital Arrest. Out of disputed amount, Rs. 51,729/- was transferred to the above referred account of the applicant. If the account of the applicant is defreezed, the accused will dispose the alleged amount transferred to his account. Hence, prayed to reject the application.

3. Considered application, its record, say of Cyber police station and APP. It clearly appears that some disputed amount involved in cyber crime was transferred to the account of the applicant. Applicant has full knowledge about the same. He has not claim the ownership of said amount. It is apparent that he has to face inconvenience because of the frozen account. Therefore, there will be no hurdle to allow the application by keeping lien over the disputed amount of Rs. 51,729/-.

4. Under such circumstances, keeping the said account under the freezed condition would not serve any purpose.

Considering the all facts and documents available on record, in my opinion, the said account can be defreezed upon the imposing the certain condition. Resultently, I proceed to pass following order -

ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. The account of **Tirupati Sales Corporation** maintained with **Kotak Mahindra Bank, Branch at North Avenue, Jaipur, Rajasthan bearing Current A/c No. 1412663777** of applicant namely Mohanlal Radhayshyam Gupta be defreezed **by keeping lien on Rs. 51,729/-** in respect of Cr No. 147/2024 registered with PS Cyber, **if not required in other crime / complaint.**
3. Kotak Mahindra Bank, Main Branch, Jaipur, Rajasthan is directed to obtain residential as well as identity proof of the applicant.
4. Inform to I.O./PSO, Cyber Police Station, Nagpur and Kotak Mahindra Bank, Main Branch, Jaipur, Rajasthan accordingly.
5. The copy of this order forwarded to the concerned Bank Manager of the said Bank through the I.O.for information and necessary action.

Date : 07/08/2026.
Nagpur.

(S. K. Sonawane)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
(Court No.10), Nagpur.