

01.08.2026.

ORDERS ON I.A.

Learned counsel for the petitioner filed application U/o I Rule 10(2) seeking to implead the proposed respondents in the petition.

2. In the affidavit annexed to the application the guardian of the minor petitioner submitted that the petition is filed against the respondents for the compensation. The proposed respondents are the insured and the insurance company. Hence they are necessary parties. Hence, prays to allow the application.

3. On the other hand the proposed respondent No.3 filed objection and contended that he is the owner of the vehicle. The insurance was in existence for the vehicle of the proposed respondent No.3 and the insurance company is liable to pay the compensation. Hence prays to dismiss the application.

4. In spite of sufficient opportunity counsel for the respondent No.2 not chosen to file objection. Hence, objection of

proposed respondent No.4 taken as not filed.

5. Heard both side. Perused the records.

6. Admittedly the petitioner has filed this petition for the compensation for the injuries sustained by the petitioner in the alleged accident. It is the contention of the petitioner that the proposed respondents are the insured and insurer of the vehicle involved in the accident. The proposed respondents being the insured and insurer of the vehicle are necessary parties to the petition. As such no prejudice will cause to the proposed respondents, if application is allowed. Hence I proceed to pass following:

ORDER:

Application filed Under Order I Rule 10(2) of CPC filed by the petitioner is allowed.

By considering the nature of the petition no order as to cost.

Call for carrying out amendment and to furnish amended petition by 14.08.2026.

Judge,
Court of Addl. S.C., &
Mysuru