

Witness called and duly sworn on: 23.10.2024

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. RK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT:

1. My date of birth is 10.03.2005. I have no knowledge about the education qualification of the deceased and also his date of birth. The deceased has a younger brother, who is into agriculturist. The deceased was working as a auto driver in his own auto. I have already produced document to show that the deceased owned an auto for which he was the driver.

2. It is false to suggest that in the FIS, it is stated that even the deceased was into agriculture. At the time of accident the deceased himself was riding a motorcycle. The said motorcycle belonged to the friend of the deceased. At the time of accident the deceased possessed a D.L. and he was wearing a headgear as well. The petitioner No.2 and 3 are my parents-in-law. The petitioner No.3 was not doing any work at the time of accident.

3. I have visited the spot where the accident occurred. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to negligence on the part of the deceased who recklessly tried to overtake another vehicle, witness states that the offending bus driver tried to negligently over take another vehicle and while doing so collided with the motorcycle in which deceased was proceeding on the correct side of the road.

4. At the time of accident the deceased was proceeding from Maddina koppalu to Hosuru. The bus came from the opposite direction. I have not observed if there is a slight steep near the spot towards the

direction in which the deceased was proceeding. It is false to suggest that the deceased dashed his motorcycle against the bus in the steep side of the road.

5. The respondent has released Rs.25,000/- as interim compensation in my favour. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to the fault of the deceased, false case is filed alleging false facts on the basis of created documents just to suit our claim for compensation. I lodged the FIS with regard to the accident. I have not gone through the police records got exhibited by me. It is false to suggest that there was no negligence on the part of driver of the KSRTC bus as such the petitioners are not entitled for any compensation.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru