

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 27.11.2025*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MVG LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.3:**

1. At the time of accident I was proceeding on the motorcycle which belonged to me. I have studied upto PUC. I did my PUC at bifurcated Maharaja college situated at Nazarbad, Mysuru. The accident occurred on 07.09.2023. I do not recollect the registration number of motorcycle. The same is not with me now as it was scrapped. Though the vehicle was given to some person who informed us that the vehicle was scrapped but I have no personal knowledge about the same.

2. I am a resident of Puttegowdanahundi. Our village is situated near Vajamangala which is on T.Narasipura – Mysuru main road. The accident occurred on the cross road which leads to Vajamangala and I was yet to enter the main road.

3. Our family owns agricultural property at Puttegowdanahundi. Now we are residing at Hinakal in a rented premises as a loans availed had to be cleared. The house at Puttegowdanahundi village is leased out for the purpose of clearing loans. The agricultural land are left barren as nobody is there to cultivate it.

4. I am married. I am dependent on my mother for my livelihood as I am not in a position to do work. My mother earns for our family. The RC card, copy of the insurance policy and other documents pertaining to my vehicle are all the produced before this tribunal.

5. The offending vehicle is a JCB but I do not know its registration number. The accident occurred at around 8.30 to 9 PM and it was dark. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred when I dashed my vehicle against the JCB. Witness states that the JCB hit my motorcycle and caused the accident.

6. After the accident I was admitted to Nirmala multispeciality Hospital. I do not know as to who got me admitted to the Hospital. At Nirmala multispeciality Hospital I was treated by Dr. Sri. Punith. After the accident I had lost consciousness. I recollect having seen the JCB at the time of accident.

7. I do not know the respondent No.1 and 2 herein personally. It is false to suggest that though I knew respondent No.1 and 2 personally even prior to the accident, I have deposed false facts. The FIS was lodged by my uncle by name Sri. Mahadevaswamy. I do not remember if the said Sri. Mahadevaswamy was at the spot at the time of accident or not as such I cannot say if he is an eye witness to the accident or not.

8. It is false to suggest that perusal of the Ex.P5 rough sketch gives an impression that I rode my motorcycle to the extreme right side of the road and dashed the same against a parked JCB. I do not know against whom the police are filed the charge sheet. It is false to suggest that the very fact that in none of the medical records it is mentioned that the accident was caused by JCB and also whether it was moving or in stationary condition goes to the show that the said JCB was never involved in the accident instead it was falsely implicated just to maintain this claim petition.

9. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I was aged more than 35 years at the time of accident and yet I have falsely deposed that I was aged 29 years at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that prior to the accident I had no source of income but now I am working and earning and yet I have deposed false facts before this court.

10. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for compensation as sought for by me.

11.It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru