

Witness called and duly sworn on: 21.11.2025

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. DM LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT :

1. It is true to suggest that the FIS was lodged after 4 days of occurrence of the accident. witness states that the police had come to the Hospital and recorded my statement. There were around 50 passengers in the bus. I was seated on the left side in the bus.

2. The accident occurred when the bus hit a pole on the left side of the road while trying to avoid collision with on coming vehicle which was coming from the opposite direction. It is false to suggest that I had left my hand out of the window as such I sustained injuries. Witness states that I had kept my hand inside. It is false to suggest that I sustained injuries due to my own fault.

3. I have incurred the medical expenses to the tune of Rs.5,00,000/-. I have hired a taxi by spending Rs.2,500/- per OPD visit. I have visited the Hospital around 4 times in a taxi. I do meson work. I do not work for one particular person instead I attend work as and when called upon as such I cannot say the names of the persons for whom I work. I have not produced documents pertaining to my income. Upon being suggested that the respondent corporation has paid me Rs.1,62,890/-, witness states that the corporation has not paid any amount to me directly however the respondent corporation has borne the medical expenses.

4. It is false to suggest that I have recovered from the injuries fully. Witness states that I still have issues and cannot do any work. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries

sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation.

5. Initially I was admitted to Govt. Hospital, Hullahalli and thereafter I was admitted to Gopalagowda Hospital, Mysuru. It is false to suggest that the very fact that the medical records produced by me do not bear the seal and signature of the doctor and Hospital authorities which goes to the show that the same are created for the purpose of this case. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation.

6. It is false to suggest that the total amount claimed by me does not tally with the amounts mentioned in the bills got exhibited by me. Though the doctor has not issued any document in writing certifying that I am not in a position to do any work, witness states that though the doctor informed me orally that I will not be able to do any work but he has issued any document in writing to that effect.

7. It is false to suggest that I have filed false petition alleging false facts as such I am not entitled for compensation.

Deferred for further cross examination of PW.1 at the request of learned counsel for respondent.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.
Sd/-
Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru