

Witness called and duly sworn on: 16.07.2026

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MVG LEARNED COUNSEL
FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. I am not doing any work now. Prior to the accident I was doing tailoring work. At the time of accident it was not completely dark. The said road is bifurcated by a median. I was crossing the road at the time of accident. Near the spot there is no designated spot for pedestrians to cross the road. The offending motorcycle was proceeding from Yelawala side towards Mysuru side. It is false to suggest that since the road is straight sighting the motorcycle coming from Yelawala side would not be difficult.

2. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that it is a straight road and sighting the vehicles plying from Yelawala side would not be difficult I have deposed false facts. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my own negligence in trying to cross the road without observing the movement of vehicles. The rider of the offending motorcycle had also sustained injuries in the form of abrasions as even he fell down after the accident.

3. Immediately after the accident I was directly taken to Pralaksha Hospital. I regained my consciousness at the Hospital after about 2 to 3 hours. The FIS was lodged by me after 2 days of occurrence of the accident. Since the rider of the offending vehicle had come to the Hospital, I came to know him about his whereabouts. In the said accident I had sustained fracture on my left leg for which I underwent

surgery. Though implants have been placed I have not yet got the same removed. Though the doctor has not advised me anything about work but I am not in a position to sit and do the tailoring work now.

4. I underwent treatment as an inpatient for 4 to 5 days. Even after being discharged from the Hospital I have taken treatment on OPD basis regularly. I have produced documents regarding my OPD consultation visits. I last consulted the doctor about one month back. On that day the doctor prescribe some medicines. I was informed that the fractures have not completely united. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident. It is false to suggest that I have made assertions regarding my income and avocation prior to the accident.

5. I was treated by Dr. Sri. Madhusudan and he even performed the surgery. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and the injuries are healed as it has been almost 3 years yet I have deposed false facts before this tribunal, just to claim higher compensation.

6. It is false to suggest that some unknown vehicle had caused the accident and fled away since the said vehicle could not be traced the motorcycle of the respondent No.1 was falsely implicated in collusion with him just to maintain this claim petition. It is false to suggest that

the very fact that there is delay in lodging FIS goes to show that the vehicle belonging to respondent No.1 is falsely implicated. The doctor had not advised physiotherapy.

7. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that even now I am doing the very same work which I was doing prior to the accident and I am earning more income than what I was earning prior to the accident and yet I have deposed false facts before this court.

8. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same.

9. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for compensation as sought for by me.

10. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge,

Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru