

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 07.03.2026*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. NBR LEARNED COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:**

1. I have gone through the police records pertaining to the accident leading to the present case. It is true to suggest that with respect to the accident leading to the present case, I have been arrayed as the accused in the FIR as well as charge sheet. It is true to suggest that I am facing trial in the criminal case arising out of the accident leading to the present case. It is true to suggest that I am on bail in said case.

2. At the time of accident a conductor was also deputed and the said bus was a backdoor bus. The conductor of the bus was near the back door when the accident occurred. I am not aware if at the time of accident the conductor was seated or if he was near the door on the backside. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred in view of sudden application of brake by me and also due to negligence on the part of conductor of the bus in not ensuring that the backdoor of the bus is closed properly.

3. It is true to suggest that the accident occurred at a junction where four roads meet. It is true to suggest that the said road is the Hosaramenahalli to Hunsuru main road situated in the rural area. It is false to suggest that the road where the accident occurred is around 30 feet wide. Upon being suggested that as per the Ex.P5 rough sketch the width of the road is 30 feet wide, witness states that due to growth of bushes the width of the road is not 30 feet as shown in the rough sketch. Though I had informed the IO about the same but I

have not questioned the contents of Ex.P5 before any competent court.

4. Though I tried to lodge the FIS but the SHO refused to receive the same. I have not approached the SP after the SHO refused to receive the FIS lodged by me. The Ex.R2 is produced by me to show that the CW.2 i.e., The petitioner herein has not been appearing before the learned JMFC trying the Criminal case. I have not tried to ascertain the reason behind non appearance of CW.2 to deposed in the criminal case.

5. Upon being suggested that the CW.2 is undergoing treatment for head injuries witness states that I am not aware of the same but even now the CW.2 commutes in the same bus. I do not know the petitioner personally. I am not aware if the treating doctor who is examined as PW.2 has deposed before the court that the petitioner is not in a position to move on. It is false to suggest that the petitioner is not in a position to move around without the assistance of others and yet I have deposed false facts just to show that I am innocent.

6. I have been deputed in the same route from the past 3 years. I have not produced the route map before this tribunal. It is true to suggest that once in every year the route maps of a driver would be changed by the KSRTC. Witness states that the same is done after counseling. It is false to suggest that had I driven the bus at a morderate speed and had the conductor of the bus ensured that the backdoor of the bus was properly closed, the petitioner would not have sustained injuries. It is false to suggest that me and the conductor are responsible for the

accident as the petitioner sustained injuries our negligence.

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

Sd/-  
Prl.Judge,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru