

Witness called and duly sworn on: 18.07.2025

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. POA LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT:

1. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident I was aged 50 years. I have studied upto 4th Standard. I have documents in that regard. I am a BPL card holder. I have no impediment to produce the said documents before this court.

2. At the time of accident I was alone and was not accompanied by any other person. At the time of accident I was proceeding from Hagarahalli Village to Hunsur. The said bus was plying from Hosaramahalli to Hunsur. It is false to suggest that upon being signalled by the conductor, the driver of the bus promptly stopped the bus at Mahadeshwara temple, Rampatna Village. It is false to suggest that I due to my own negligence and urgency tried to get down from a moving bus without waiting for the driver to stop as such the accident occurred due to my negligence and there was no negligence on the part of driver of the bus.

3. It is false to suggest that though the accident occurred due to my negligence I have got filed the case against the driver of the bus projecting as if the driver was negligence just to maintain this claim petition. It is false to suggest that had I been patient and waited for the bus to stop before alighting the same, the incident would not have occurred. It is true to suggest that the said Madeshwara temple is situated inside the Rampatna Village.

4. It is true to suggest that there are three speed breakers placed at

three different points in the village. It is false to suggest that since the spot where the accident occurred is inside the village, it is not possible for the driver to drive the bus at high speed.

5. The FIS was lodged by my son. I am not aware of the contents of the police records. It is false to suggest that in the FIS it is stated that the accident occurred as the driver applied sudden brake whereas the contents of the affidavit discloses that according to me the accident occurred while I was getting down from the bus this contradiction goes to show that a false case is filed to claim compensation.

6. I am not aware if the driver of the KSRTC bus admitted me to Govt. Hospital, Hunsur. Witness states that I do not know who admitted me to the hospital as I had lost conscious at the time of accident. On the same day I was admitted to Brindavan hospital from Govt. hospital Hunsur. Even while admitting me to Brindavan hospital I had not regained my conscious. I did not narrate the history before the doctors at Brindavan hospital. My son might have informed the doctors at Brindavan hospital as to what transpired on that day. I am not aware if I was shifted to Vidyaranya hospital after taking treatment for a day at Brindavan hospital. I underwent treatment as an inpatient at Vidyaranya hospital for 12 days. I cannot say if as per the records I was admitted to Vidyaranya hospital on 22.10.2023 and was discharged on 29.10.2023.

7. It is false to suggest that at the time of discharge I had fully recovered from the injuries sustained in the accident. I am not aware if as per the Ex.P10 the date mentioned is 29.12.2023 whereas

according to my discharge summary I was discharged on 29.10.2023 this goes to show that Ex.P10 is got created. It is false to suggest that this also goes to show that I have not incurred the medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills produced by me. It is false to suggest that I have got created the bills to claim higher compensation though I have not incurred medical expenses to the said extent.

8. I do not know if in the medical records and also the police intimation issued by Brindavan hospital it is mentioned that I sustained the injuries upon falling due to my own mistake. It is false to suggest that as I sustained the injuries due to my negligence and not due to negligence on the part of driver of the bus the respondent is not liable to pay any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got filed a false case and have filed this petition on the basis of created documents just to make unlawful.

9. It is false to suggest that as I had fully recovered from the injuries sustained in the accident while getting discharged there was no need for me to take follow up treatment accordingly I have not obtained follow up treatment at any hospital. Witness states that I have been consulting doctors at private hospitals. It is false to suggest that the very fact that I have not produced any such documents goes to show that even the said assertion made by me is false. It is false to suggest that as I have fully recovered from the injuries, I am doing all the work which I was doing prior to the accident. Witness states that I am unable to any work due to injuries sustained in the accident. It is false to suggest that though I have recovered from the injuries and have not

suffered any disability I am falsely deposing that I have suffered disabilities and infirmities due to injuries sustained in the accident just to claim higher compensation.

10. It is false to suggest that in the said incident I had only sustained minor injuries and yet I have deposed false facts. It is false to suggest that I have falsely asserted that I was informed that I would incur medical expenses in future as well just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that prior to the accident I was not doing tailoring work. I do not have any document to show that I had done formal training course in tailoring. Witness states that my neighbor taught me to do tailoring work and I own sewing machine. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident I was not earning Rs.20,000/- per month as is being asserted by me. I have maintained books regarding tailoring orders. I have no impediment to produce the same before this court. It is false to suggest that I have falsely asserted that I was doing tailoring work and was earning Rs.20,000/- per month just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I was not maintaining the family from my income and I have not suffered any loss of income due to injuries sustained in the accident.

11. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher

compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that inspite of the fact that I have recovered fully from the injuries which is clear from the perusal of my medical records, I have deposed false facts before this court with an intention to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

sd/-

Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru