

**MVC No. 1492/24 PW 1 - Mamatha H.**

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 24.07.2025*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MVG LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. I am not an eye witness to the accident. The petitioner No.2 is studying in II PUC this year. The petitioner No.3 and 4 are my parents in law. The petitioner No.3 and 4 used to reside with us during my husband's lifetime and used to occasionally visit B.G.Doddi. It is false to suggest that the petitioner No.3 and 4 never used to reside with us and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation.

2. The petitioner No.4 is into agriculture and he owns agricultural land at B.G.Doddi. The deceased was the eldest son to his parents and the younger brother of the deceased is into private work. I have no impediment to produce the educational records of the petitioner No.2 before this tribunal. Witness states that the petitioner No.2 is pursuing his studies at Jain PU college.

3. Mamatha stores was being run by my husband and the same was into collecting parcels and distributing the same. Currently the same is not functioning from the past more than 1 year. I have not

produced any document to show that the said Mamatha stores has discontinued its activities. It is false to suggest that though the said Mamatha stores is active and functioning I have deposed false facts before this tribunal. It is false to suggest that though it was me who was managing the said Mamatha stores I have deposed falsely that the deceased was managing the same just to claim higher compensation.

4. One Sri. Mahadevaswamy is my brother in law. Even he is not an eye witness to the accident. The said Sri Mahadevaswamy was informed about the accident by my husband. The averment in the FIS that the contents in the FIS are prepared as per the information given by said Sri. Mahadevaswamy and one Sri. Nagendra is true and correct. I do not know the respondent No.1 personally. I was informed about the accident by my husband as well.

5. The accident occurred on 27.06.2024. The deceased was going alone at the time of accident and he was wearing helmet at that time. It is false to suggest that though the deceased was not wearing a helmet at the time of accident, I have deposed false facts before this court. It is false to suggest that had it been true that deceased was wearing helmet, the police would have seized the same. Witness states that I was informed that it was misplaced at the time of shifting the deceased to the Hospital.

6. It is false to suggest that the deceased did not know to ride a motorcycle and he did not possess a D.L. as such the accident occurred due to his own negligence. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the

medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation.

7. It is false to suggest that I have only produce the income tax assessment and not produced any acknowledgment and even the assessment produced pertains to 2 years only. It is false to suggest that though the deceased did not have a source of income of his own, I have made false assertions regarding age, occupation and income of the deceased just to claim higher compensation.

8. It is false to suggest that the petitioners including me were not dependent on the deceased instead the deceased was dependent on me and yet I have deposed false facts. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on the part of the deceased himself, I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

**(Typed to my dictation in the open court)**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

**sd/-**

**Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru**