

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 12.08.2025*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. BGK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. The motorcycle on which I was proceeding at the time of accident belonging to my younger brother. I have already produced my D.L. and the copy of the insurance policy pertaining to vehicle on which I was proceeding at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that I have not produced the said document which goes to the show that my vehicle was uninsured and I did not possess a D.L. at the time of accident.

2. The informant by name Sri Shantaraju is my relative and he is not an eye witness to the accident. He was informed about the accident by some bystanders. It is true to suggest that the road on which the alleged accident occurred is around 14 feet wide. Upon being suggested that from the point of impact one edge of the road was at a distance of around 3 feet witness states that I was proceeding on the edge of the road itself. It is false to suggest that despite the fact that I was proceeding on the middle of the road, I have falsely deposed that I was proceeding on the edge of the road and to suppress the true facts I have intentionally withheld the production of the rough sketch prepared by the police.

3. The bus hit the right side of my motorcycle. I did not observe as to which side of the bus had sustained damages in the accident. I do not know if the rear right side body of the bus was found to have sustained damages when the bus was inspected by the IMV inspector. My vehicle had sustained damages on the front side. It is false to

suggest that the rough sketch and the IMV report reveal that I dashed my motorcycle against the rear side back body of the bus and caused the accident. It is false to suggest that had I ridden my motorcycle on the left edge of the road the accident would not have occurred as such the negligence on my part resulted in the accident. I do not know any person by name Sri Hemanth. I am not aware if he is an eye witness to the accident or not.

4. Immediately after the accident I was admitted to Govt. Hospital, Kollegala. I have not narrated the history before the doctors at Kollegala Govt. Hospital or at Chamarajanagara Govt. Hospital. I underwent treatment as an inpatient at Chamarajanagara Govt. Hospital only. I last consulted the doctors at Chamarajanagara about 6 months back with regard to injuries sustained in the accident. I do not know the name of the doctor who treated me at Chamarajanagara Govt. Hospital.

5. I had sustained injuries on my head, right hand fingers and right leg toes. I was admitted to Chamarajanagara Govt. Hospital on the second day after the accident and the surgery was performed about 2 days after my admission to the Hospital. Before performing the surgery doctors have procured my X-ray. I have already produced the same before this tribunal. It is false to suggest that as I had not sustained any fractures there was no need for me to undergo any surgery and hence I have not produced my X-ray films.

6. I am currently aged around 40 years. The averment in my Aadhar card that my year of birth is 1985 is proper and correct. Prior to the

accident I was into agriculture in my own land. Even to this day I own the said land but I am not in a position to cultivate the same. The land is left uncultivated after the accident. I have not produced any document to show that I was earning Rs.20,000/- per month prior to the accident. It is false to suggest that I have falsely alleged that I have incurred Rs.75,000/- towards medication, food, transportation and other miscellaneous expenses.

7. It is false to suggest that as per the bills produced by me at Ex.P8, I have not incurred any amount towards medical expenses. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence I am not entitled for any compensation.

8. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have deposed false facts in support of the false claim petition just to make unlawful gain.

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MY LEARNED COUNSEL FOR  
RESPONDENT NO.1:**

*Learned counsel for the respondent No.1(a) submits that the respondent No.1(a) adopts cross examination done by learned counsel for respondent No.2 on all material aspects other than liability.*

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

sd/-  
Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru