

Witness called and duly sworn on: 04.07.2024

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. BGK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR
RESPONDENT NO.2:

1. I do not know as to which hospital the other injured by name Sri. Shahabaz Sharif was admitted. I do not know any person by name Sri. Sachin. I do now know as per contents of Ex.P5 one Sri. Sachin had accompanied me when I went to the hospital.

2. At the time of accident I was proceeding from Mysuru to some village for doing welding work, I do not remember the name of the said village. The accident occurred some where near Suthuru village. After the collision I fell towards my left side. I do not remember the colour of the vehicle in which I was proceeding at the time of accident, however it was an Activa scooter.

3. I know the respondent No.1 from the past five years. I know the said Sri. Shahabaz Sharif from the past 8 to 10 years. The police inquired with me and recorded my statement while I undergoing treatment at the hospital on the same day on which the accident occurred.

4. It is false to suggest that the parents of the said Sri. Shahabaz Sharif have informed the police that as on the date of accident, Sri. Shahabaz Sharif had gone to Tamil Nadu on some work. It is false to suggest that similarly even respondent No.1 has informed the police

that he had handed over the vehicle to me on the date of accident. Upon being suggested that the network details of the mobile phone belonging to Sri. Shahabaz Sharif reveals that he was not at the spot at the time of accident, witness states that the said Sri. Shahabaz Sharif did not possess a mobile phone at all.

5. It is false to suggest that inspite of being fully aware that at the time of accident, the said Sri. Shahabaz Sharif was not with me as deposed by me, yet I have deposed false facts just to suit my claim. It is false to suggest that inspite the fact that I was riding the motor cycle at the time of accident, I have twisted the actual facts apprehending that if true facts are averred I would not be entitled for compensation. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to actionable negligence on my part. It is false to suggest that in view of my friendship with respondent No.1 and Sri. Shahabaz Sharif, the actual facts were twisted to appear as if it was Sri. Shahabaz Sharif who was riding the motor cycle just to support my claim for compensation. I do not remember as to which side of the motor cycle was damaged in the accident. It is false to suggest that since this is a case where true facts have not been averred as such I am not entitled for compensation.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge ,

Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru

