

Witness called and duly sworn on: 10.12.2025

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SMT. KLS LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:

1. I have been informed about the contents of the police records. The FIS is prepared as per my narration but the same was recorded by a police official as I am not well conversant with kannada language. It is true to suggest that the I.O. has filed the charge sheet by arraying me as the sole accused.

2. Though it is true to suggest that in the charge sheet it is alleged that I jumped the traffic signal and caused the accident but the said allegation is false. Upon being suggested that the I.O. after thorough investigation and after perusing the CCTV footages concluded that the accident occurred due to my negligence, witness states that the I.O. has not perused any such CCTV footages and the said assertion is also false. I am aware that the entire occurrence is captured in the dash cam of the car bearing No. KA-01-MN-0513. I am aware that the I.O. has obtained the relevant portion of the dash cam footage during the course of investigation.

3. Though it is true to suggest that on the basis of the said footage retrieved from the car involved in the accident, the I.O. concluded that the accident occurred due to my negligence, witness states that but the said conclusion is wrong and incorrect. Upon being questioned whether I have challenged the charge sheet filed against me, witness states that I am not aware of the same but I am contesting the criminal case arising out of the accident. Witness states that though in about a

week after the occurrence of the accident I approach the higher police authorities putting forth my side of the case by way of a written complaint but the same was not received and no further action was taken on the basis of my complaint. Witness states that I have even approach the state human rights commission but there was no further action. I have the copies of the representations given by me to Karnataka state human rights commission and police commissioner. I have no impediment to produce the same before this court. It is false to suggest that the very fact that I have not produced the copies of the earlier complaints and also the fact that there is no reference to the alleged previous complaint in Ex.P2 goes to show that no such complaint was ever lodged by me and I have deposed false facts to cover up the delay in lodging the FIS.

4. I have not taken any further action after the police filed the charge sheet against me alleging that the contents of the police records are false and incorrect. It is false to suggest that nowhere in the medical records it is forthcoming that I had informed the doctors that the accident occurred due to negligence on the part of driver of the car, witness states that I had informed the doctors that the car driver was negligent.

5. Immediately after the accident I was admitted to Agasthya Hospital, Mysuru and later I was taken to Apollo Hospital, Mysuru for higher treatment. I am aware that as per Ex.P6 IMV report it is mentioned that when the motor vehicle inspector inspected the car, he did not find any fresh damages in the car. It is false to suggest that the

accident occurred due to my own negligence in jumping the signal and dashing my two wheeler against the car and there was no negligence whatsoever on the part of driver of the car. Witness states that the driver of the car was negligent.

6. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false facts before this tribunal in support of false case filed by me just to claim compensation and make unlawful gain at the expense of respondent No.2 company. It is false to suggest that considering the fact the I sustained the alleged injuries almost 2 years back, I should have recovered by now. Witness states that I have not recovered fully. I last consulted the doctor with regard to injuries sustained in the accident about a month back. I have produced most of the medical records and bills before this tribunal.

7. It is false to suggest that the documents produced by me reveal that I have not consulted any doctor with regard to injuries sustained in the accident after March 2025. Witness states that I have documents available with me in that regard.

8. I am currently working as Professor at RIE, Mysuru. I have received increments and my salary has increased even after the accident. I have not received any memos or no such action is initiated against me on the ground that my performance is not upto mark or that I have not been able to do my work as was expected from me. It is false to suggest that the contents of the affidavit filed by me do not state the true facts and I have deposed false facts in support of the false petition for compensation filed by me.

9. The accident occurred at a circle. The contents of the Ex.P5 rough sketch regarding the direction from which I was proceeding and the car was proceeding are true and correct. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for any compensation. witness states that the accident did not occur due to my negligence.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru