

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 09.02.2026*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. JSK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR  
RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. My son got me admitted to the Hospital after the accident. He took me to the Hospital directly from the spot. My son reached the spot in about 30 minutes after the occurrence of the accident. I do not know as to in which vehicle I was taken to Kushalnagara, Govt. Hospital. I do not know as to who informed my son about the accident. I also do not know who lodged the FIS with respect to the accident.

2. I took treatment at Kushal nagara Govt. Hospital and thereafter at Govt. Hospital, Madikeri. I have also obtained treatment at Govt. Hospital, Koodige. I underwent treatment at Govt. Hospital Koodige for about 14 days on OPD basis. I have not produced the documents pertaining to treatment obtained by me at Koodige Govt. Hospital. It is false to suggest that the documents which I am referring to pertains to a fire incident in which I sustained injuries long back and the same is no where concerned to the present case.

3. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident. I do not know the name of the doctor who treated me at Govt. Hospital, Madikeri. Except for the Govt. Hospitals at Kushalnagar, Koodige and Madikeri I have not obtained treatment at any other Hospital for the injuries sustained in the accident.

4. I did not see the Auto before it dashed against me. The accident occurred near Gandada Kote which is situated on Kushal Nagar-Madikeri road. It is true to suggest that the said road is a State Highway. It is true to suggest that the said road is quite busy with heavy traffic movement. I do not know

as to who identified the spot before the police. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred when I was trying to cross the highway negligently without observing the movement of vehicles and not while I was proceeding by the side of the road as is being claimed by me. It is false to suggest that the police records have been created to suit my claim on the basis of the false FIS lodged by me.

5. I have procured the ration card. Now I see the document confronted to me, the witness is confronted with color photocopy of the ration card bearing No.SVPU00122210 and suggested that the said ration card pertains to my family and even my photo is visible in the same, witness looks into the document and admits the same as such it is marked as Ex.R1.

6. My husband is no more he demised about 3 months back. I have begotten 3 issues of which one is a male issue. Doctor has issued disability certificate but the same was handed over to my son. I am currently aged around 55 years. It is false to suggest that the Respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me as the Auto rickshaw bearing No.KA-12-B-4422 was being plied without a permit.

7. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part and there was no negligence on the part of driver of the Auto rickshaw bearing No.KA-12-B-4422 and even for this reason Respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me.

8. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I

have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation.

9. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same.

10. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

**(Typed to my dictation in the open court)**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

Sd/-  
Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru