

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 16.10.2025*

**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. BGK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:**

3. The accident was caused by car bearing No.KA-05-MC-9155. The offending car was being driven by respondent No.1 herein at the time of accident. I did not meet the owner or driver of the offending car at any time after the accident even to this day.

4. I got prepared the FIS at the Hospital and submitted the written FIS to the police official who had come to Hospital. I have signed the FIS. The I.O. has not recorded any further statement of mine. The spot was inspected by the police as identified by my friend Sri. Mahesh. The said Sri. Mahesh was with me when the accident occurred as we were proceeding on the same motorcycle.

5. Immediately after the accident I was admitted to Kamakshi Hospital where I underwent treatment for about 2 hours and thereafter on the next day I was admitted to Manasa Hospital. The said Sri. Mahesh was the pillion rider as such he did not suffer any major injuries as such he did not take any treatment for the same.

6. The accident occurred near Govt. press circle which is at T junction where roads leading towards Bogadi- Saraswatipuram- Kantharajuru road meet. The road on which I was proceeding is around 60 feet wide. Witness states that the accident occurred at Govt. press circle. The car hit the motorcycle on the left side and motorcycle had

damages on the front side as well as left side. The offending car came from Saraswatipuram side and I was proceeding towards Bhogadi side. Both the vehicles were proceeding in the same direction. The point of impact is situated at a distance of about 20 feet from the left edge of the road which leads towards Bhogadi. It is false to suggest that had I ridden my motorcycle on the left lane the accident would not have occurred. Witness states that the driver of the car came from Saraswatipuram side and tried to take a long turn to his right at a high speed and in the process dashed against my motorcycle.

7. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred at quite some distance from the circle. Witness states that it occurred right after the circle on the road which leads towards Bhogadi. It is false to suggest that had I ridden the motorcycle on the left lane instead of the right lane the accident would not have occurred as such my own negligence contributed to the accident.

8. It is false to suggest that as I was aware that there was no fault on the part of driver of the car I did not lodge the FIS immediately instead I lodged it after 3 to 4 days by twisting the actual facts to suit my claim.

9. Prior to the accident I was doing mobile repair work under some other person. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident as the salary would be paid on day to day basis. It is true to suggest that even to this day I am getting salary on day to day basis. Witness

states that however I am not in a position to work as I was doing prior to the accident. Prior to the accident I was earning Rs.1,500/- per day however now my working capacity has reduced as such I am now earning around Rs.600/- to 700/- per day. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation.

10. My date of birth is 16.03.1997. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered any loss of income due to injuries sustained in the accident. At Manasa Hospital I was treated by Dr. Sri. Raghunandan. I am finding it difficult to do my day to day activities due to injuries sustained in the accident. It is false to suggest that perusal of Ex.P6 IMV report shows that the car had sustained damages on the right side and the motorcycle had sustained damages on the front side goes to the show that the negligence was on my part as I was proceeding on the right lane instead of the left lane.

11. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that even now I am doing the very same work which I was doing prior to the accident and I am earning more income than what I was earning prior to the accident and yet I have deposed false facts before this court.

12. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for compensation as sought for by me.

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

**(Typed to my dictation in the open court)**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

**Sd/-**

**Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru**