

Witness called and duly sworn on: 07.11.2024

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MVG LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:

1. I was aged 37 years at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that as per my Adhaar card my current age is 40 years. The accident occurred on 16.03.2023 while I was proceeding on a motorcycle bearing No.KA-09-EC-2697. The said vehicle was duly insured at the time of accident, I might have produced the documents pertaining to my vehicle before this tribunal. It is false to suggest that the very fact that I have not produced the insurance policy and DL goes to show that neither the motorcycle was insured nor was I possessing a valid and subsisting D.L. at the time of accident.

2. At the time of accident I was proceeding alone on my motorcycle and I was wearing a helmet. It is false to suggest that even to this day I am doing the very same work which I was doing prior t the accident and yet I have deposed falsely that I am unable to work like before.

3. The FIS was lodged by one Sri. Ravi R.B. who resides in the same village as I do. I was admitted to hospital by said Sri. Ravi R.B. and one Sri. Kumara. It is true to suggest that as per the Ex.P7 wound certificate, I was accompanied by one Sri. Manu when I was admitted to the hospital, witness states that since he is my relative and since he too accompanied me to the hospital, his name is mentioned.

4. Upon being suggested that as per Ex.P8 I was conscious at the time of my admission to hospital, witness states that I was unconscious. Upon being suggested that even the name of one Sri. Karthik is found in the Ex.P8 along with the name of Sri. Manu and even their phone numbers are mentioned, even the said Sri. Karthik is my relative. I cannot say if the

registration numbers of the vehicles involved are not mentioned in the Ex.P8. It is false to suggest that the Ex.P7 and 8 reveal that neither the said Sri. Ravi R.B. is an eye witness nor the vehicle bearing No. KA-09-HV-6979 was involved in any accident as is being asserted by me.

5. It is true to suggest that the FIS is lodged on 19.03.2023 i.e., three days after the occurrence of the accident, witness states that as I was undergoing treatment and as the respondent No.1 proposed amicable settlement, the FIS was not lodged immediately. The I.O. has charge sheeted respondent No.1. I do not know if the respondent No.1 possessed DL at the time of accident or not.

6. I was treated by Dr. Sri. Santhosh. I underwent treatment as an inpatient for 15 to 16 days. I do not remember the date on which I was discharged from the hospital. It is false to suggest that I did not sustained the injuries in any accident and the injuries sustained elsewhere were misrepresented to maintain a claim petition. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered any disability due to injuries sustained in the accident. It is false to suggest that I have deposed false facts in support of false claim petition which is based on created documents.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-
Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru