

Witness called and duly sworn on: 15.06.2026

FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. RK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT :

11. It is true to suggest that Ex.P19 is just an estimation of costs which I might incur due to sustained in the accident. It is true to suggest that I have not paid the amount mentioned in the Ex.P19. It is true to suggest that Ex.P22 is also an assessment letter. It is true to suggest that I have not paid the amount mentioned in the Ex.P22 as it is just an estimation. It is false to suggest that even the Ex.P19 to 23 have been created for the purpose of this case so as to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to my negligence I have created documents to project as if driver of the bus was negligent so as to make unlawful gain.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

**Prl.Judge,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru**