

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 17.03.2026*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. RK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT :**

1. My date of birth is 31.05.2000. I have discontinued II PUC course. I am currently residing at Mysuru but I am a native of T.Narasipura. My Mysuru residential address is House No.81, 5<sup>th</sup> cross, Jayadevanagara. The same address is mentioned in my Aadhar card.

2. Upon being questioned as to where I was doing welding work, witness states that I used to coolie and welding work wherever the work is available. I have not got myself registered with the Labor Department to show that I was doing welding and labor work. It is false to suggest that since I had no avocation and no source of income of my own I have not got myself registered with the labor department and yet I have deposed false facts regarding my income and avocation. I was being paid wages in cash as such I do not have any document to substantiate my contentions regarding my avocation and income.

3. The car which I was driving at the time of accident belonged to me. At the time of accident I was proceeding from T.Narasipura to Mysuru. The KSRTC bus was proceeding from Mysuru towards T.Narasipura. The said vehicle was purchased by me from its previous owner. It is a second hand vehicle. The said car was of 2007 model. My vehicle had valid fitness certificate.

4. The FIS was lodged by my father. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my negligence and yet I have falsely deposed that the accident occurred due to negligence on the part of driver of the KSRTC bus. I do not recollect if there is a Govt. School is situated near the spot. It is true to suggest that near the spot the jurisdictional police had placed barricade. It is true to suggest that since there were barricade it is not possible to ply the vehicle at high speed. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident the driver of the KSRTC bus was driving his vehicle slowly, witness states that he assumed that his bus will pass and due to his miscalculation he caused the accident. It is false to suggest that though actually I dashed my car against the bus, I have falsely asserted that the driver of the KSRTC bus dashed the bus against my vehicle.

5. Immediately after the accident I was admitted to K.R. Hospital where I underwent treatment as an inpatient for 15 days. It is false to suggest that at the time of discharge I had recovered fully. Witness states that I was advised follow up treatment regularly while discharging me. During the course of my treatment I underwent surgery in which implants were placed on my hand, thigh and knee. The implants are insitu and even to this day I am taking follow up treatment on OPD basis.

6. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. The vehicle was given for repair at private garage at Mysuru. I have produced the estimation given by the garage owner towards repair of my vehicle.

7. Upon being questioned as to what is my monthly income from January 2026 till date, witness states that I am not doing any work now and I do not have any source of income. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation.

8. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident. It is false to suggest that even now I am doing the very same work which I was doing prior to the accident and I am earning more income than what I was earning prior to the accident and yet I have deposed false facts before this court.

9. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same.

10. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for compensation as sought for by me. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

**(Typed to my dictation in the open court)**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

Sd/-  
Prl.Judge,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru