

Witness called and duly sworn on: 18.02.2026

FURTHER EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY: SRI. NBR LEARNED COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:

Ex.P17	Certified copy of the wound certificate issued by KVC Super Specialty Hospital, Mysuru.
--------	---

Wherefore I pray that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to award compensation as prayed for.

FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SMT. GMV LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:

4. The surgery was performed by Dr. Sri. Nandish. I have been advised to get the implants removed. It is false to suggest that I have fully recovered from the injuries sustained in the accident. Witness states that I am unable to do the work which I was doing prior to the accident. I have already produced documents to show that I have been taking follow up treatment on OPD basis.

5. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have falsely asserted that I have incurred Rs.3,00,000/- towards medical expenses for the injuries sustained in the accident.

6. I do not know whether the Hospital charges were paid by way of cash or through any other mode. My father is a coolie and my mother is a homemaker. It is false to suggest that I have falsely asserted that prior to the accident I was doing meson work and was earning Rs.40,000/- per month. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident.

7. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that even now I am doing the very same work which I was doing prior to the accident and I am earning more income than what I was earning prior to the accident and yet I have deposed false facts before this court.

8. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for compensation as sought for by me.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge,

Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru