

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 22.01.2026*

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SMT. GMV LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. At the time of accident I was proceeding on a motorcycle from Jayapura to Hampapura on Mysuru Manandavadi road. I was riding the motorcycle at the time of accident. The said motorcycle belonged to my friend Sri. Santhosh S/o Basavaraju. It was Splender plus motorcycle. I did not possess a D.L. at the time of accident however I possessed LLR. I was proceeding alone at the time of accident and was not accompanied by any pillion rider.

2. I do not know if the motorcycle on which I was proceeding was duly insured at the time of accident or not. It is false to suggest that in the reply issued by the owner of the motorcycle bearing No. KA-09-HL-3798 by name Sri. Basavaraju, he has specifically stated that at the time of accident the said motorcycle was being ridden by one Sri. Siddaiah S/o Chandraiah. Witness states that I was riding the motorcycle and the same might be a clerical error.

3. In the said accident I had sustained fracture on my left leg for which I underwent treatment as an inpatient at Mourya Hospital. At Mourya Hospital I was treated by Dr. Sri. Sunil. I have even undergone surgery for the injuries sustained by the accident. I underwent treatment as an inpatient for 10 days for the injuries sustained in the accident. It is false to suggest that I had fully recovered at the time of my discharge from the Hospital. I had not recovered fully. I have followed the instructions given by the doctor at

the time of discharge and have performed the exercises suggested by the doctor. It is false to suggest that I have recovered fully and I have no impediment to do my basic day to day activities. Witness states that I cannot sit and stand properly even now.

4. It is false to suggest that I have falsely asserted that I have incurred Rs.4,00,000/- towards medical expenses for the treatment undergone by me for the injuries sustained in the accident. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation.

5. It is false to suggest that even to this day I am doing egg business. Witness states that now I am not in position to do the said work. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident. I have not produced the license procured for the purpose of running the egg business. It is false to suggest that I was not doing any such business and was not earning the said income as asserted in the petition and yet I have contended false facts just to claim higher compensation.

6. The details of my date of birth mentioned in the Aadhar card marked as Ex.P16 is proper and correct. It is false to suggest that the very fact that I did not possess a D.L. instead I only possessed LLR goes to show that I did not know to ride a motorcycle and since I have admitted that I was not accompanied by any other person

at the time of accident goes to the show that the accident occurred due to my own negligence. It is false to suggest that as even I have contributed to the accident I am not entitled for the compensation to the extent sought for by me.

7. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that despite the fact that no other vehicle was involved in the accident, I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same.

**RE - EXAMINATION : NIL**

**(Typed to my dictation in the open court)**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

Sd/-  
Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru