

MVC.575/2024 PW.1 Harshith H.R.

*Witness called and duly sworn on: 01.09.2025*

**FURTHER EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY: SRI. NBR LEARNED COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:**

Ex.P21(a)	Duly signed document issued by Mishra Rahul, Team Leader, Delhivery Pvt. Ltd., pertaining to me
Ex.P22(a)	Duly signed document issued by Mishra Rahul, Team Leader, Delhivery Pvt. Ltd., pertaining to me
Ex.P23	Service estimate issued by Popular motor corporation with respect to vehicle bearing No.KA-11-EW-3718

9. Wherefore I pray that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to award compensation as prayed for.

**FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SMT. RL LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:**

10. It is true to suggest that no where in Ex.P21, 22, 21(a) or 22(a) the salary particulars are mentioned. It is false to suggest that I have continued to work even after the accident and the same is clear from the fact that amounts have been credited to my account towards salary through several persons. Witness states that the transactions whereby amounts are credited are by my mother towards payment of motorcycle EMI.

11. My mother name is Smt. Radhamma. It is false to suggest that apart from my mother several other persons have deposited amount to my account and the same is towards my salary and yet I have falsely denied this suggestions put to me. *Now I see Ex.P20, the witness is shown transaction No.19 at page No.9 of Ex.P20 and it is suggested that the said amount is credited to my account by my employer and the same is clear from the particulars of the person depositing the amount, witness looks into the same and submits that on 03.10.2024 my company credited balance amount which was payable to me and it was not my salary for the previous month.*

12. It is false to suggest that despite the fact that even to this day I am working for the same company and drawing handsome salary and yet I have falsely deposed that I am not working now just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that apprehending that if I receive the salary through account the fact that I am working would come to the notice of this tribunal I have been receiving the salary by hand and depositing the same through my mother and other persons.

13. I got the motorcycle repaired about 3 months back. Witness states that I got the motorcycle repaired after 3 months of occurrence of the accident. The Ex.P23 itself is the receipt issued for having incurred the expenses towards repair of the vehicle. It is false to suggest that Ex.P23 is the estimate and not the actual expenses incurred by me and yet the same is produced just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that Ex.P23 is procured just to claim higher compensation despite the fact that I have not incurred expenses to the said extent. I have not claimed the expenses incurred by me towards repair of my vehicle by the insurer of my motorcycle. I do not recollect the company with which the motorcycle was insured at the time of accident. The vehicle has the same insurance

policy even now. I have no impediment to produce the copy of the insurance policy before this court.

**RE-EXAMINATION: NIL**

**(Typed to my dictation in the open court)**

**R.O.I. & A.C.**

sd/-  
Prl.Judge ,  
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru