

Witness called and duly sworn on: 17.06.2025

**FURTHER EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY: KUM. NSV FORSRI. NBR
LEARNED COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:**

Ex.P17	Discharge summary issued by Cauvery Hospital, Mysuru.
Ex.P18	Medical bills (<i>Collectively marked</i>)
Ex.P19	OPD card

Wherefore I pray that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to award compensation as prayed for.

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SMT. RL LEARNED COUNSEL FOR
RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. I have discontinued BA course. The motorcycle bearing No.KA-11-EW-3718 belongs to me and the same is registered in my name. My vehicle was duly insured at the time of accident. I have no impediment to produce the RC card and policy copy pertaining to my vehicle before this court.

2. At the time of accident I was alone and was not accompanied by any other person. I was proceeding from Mysuru side towards Malavalli side at the time of accident. The offending tanker was also proceeding in the same direction as I was proceeding. The accident occurred when the driver of the tanker turned his vehicle towards the right without giving any signal and dashed against my vehicle. I had intimated the said facts to my father who lodged the FIS.

3. In the said accident front portion of my motorcycle was damaged. The said tanker did not sustain any damages in the accident. It is false to suggest that I have falsely deposed that the accident occurred

when the driver of the tanker turned his vehicle to the right without giving any signal and dashed against my vehicle.

4. The I.O recorded my statement at Cauvery hospital while I was undergoing treatment at the hospital. It is false to suggest that the accident did not occur due to negligence on the part of the driver of the tanker instead it occurred due to my own negligence.

5. In the said accident I sustained injuries on my left arm and left leg. I did not inform the doctors about the registration number of the offending vehicle at the time of my admission to the hospital. I underwent treatment as an inpatient for about a week during which I underwent surgery in which implants were placed. I have got the implants removed as I was experiencing severe pain. It is false to suggest that after the removal of implants I have recovered fully from the injuries sustained in the accident and I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident. It is false to suggest that I have falsely averred that I have suffered permanent partial physical disability just to claim higher compensation.

6. I am currently unemployed. Prior to the accident I was working as delivery boy with Well care. I had been working with Well care since July 2023. I was drawing take home salary of Rs.17,000/- per month from the date of appointment till the date of accident. I have no impediment to produce my salary slip for the month of January and February 2024 before this court.

7. The original of the ID card which is exhibited as Ex.P13 is with me. Upon being suggested that as per the rules when the company

terminates the services of an employee or the employee resigns, he is required to hand over the original ID card back to the company, witness states that in the company with which I was working there was no such rule. It is false to suggest that since I am working for the same company even to this day, the ID card is available with me as such my assertion that after the accident I am not working for the company is false. I have no impediment to produce document in support of my contention that I am not working for the company with which I was working prior to the accident.

8. My salary account is with SBI. I have no impediment to produce the account extract of my salary account until today before this tribunal. It is false to suggest that considering that my age is around 23 years, I have fully recovered from the injuries sustained in the accident and I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and yet I have deposed false facts before this court.

9. It is false to suggest that the petitioner has not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit his claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

10. It is false to suggest that since the driver of the tanker bearing No.KA-19-B-9384 was not negligent instead the accident occurred due to my own negligence, as such the respondent No.2 company is not

liable to pay compensation to me to the extent claimed by me. I have produced prescriptions in support of medical bills produced by me.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-
Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru