

Witness called and duly sworn on: 30.12.2025

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SMT. KLS LEARNED COUNSEL FOR
RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. The informant by name Sri. Praveen is my brother's son, he is not an eye witness to the accident. As I was undergoing treatment and was being shifted to different Hospitals the FIS could not be lodged immediately. I am unmarried. I am residing alone.

2. The accident occurred on a main road. The accident was caused by a two wheeler. I did not observe the motorcycle before it collided with me. The motorcycle hit me on the left side. I cannot say if the contents of Ex.P5 rough sketch are proper or not. I was proceeding towards T.Narasipura road and the offending motorcycle came from Uttanahalli temple side. It is false to suggest that had I been more vigilant and had I observed the movement of vehicles before trying to cross the road the accident would not have occurred as such my own negligence contributed to the accident.

3. I did not observe if the offending vehicle sustained any damages after the accident. I was informed that immediately after the accident I was admitted to JSS Hospital and after 3 days I was admitted to Mourya Hospital. I last consulted the doctors around 2 to 3 months back but I do not recollect the date. I have produced all the medical records including the OPD slips available with me before this tribunal. Except for the documents already got exhibited by me I have no other medical records available with me.

4. It is false to suggest that as per the documents got exhibited by me I last consulted the doctors with regard to injuries sustained in the accident in the month of March 2024. Witness states that I have consulted the doctors about 3 to 4 months back but the documents pertaining to the same might have been misplaced.

5. I did not undergo any surgery with regard to facial and head injuries. However I underwent surgery with regard to injuries sustained by me on my left ankle. Upon being suggested that the issues and infirmities mentioned by me are due to old age and not due to injuries sustained in the accident and I have recovered from the injuries, witness states that I still have pain due to injuries sustained on my leg.

6. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my own negligence in trying to cross the road without taking the footpath and without using designated place like zebra crossing as such my own negligence resulted in the accident.

7. It is false to suggest that I have got ensured that the police documents are prepared by projecting as if the negligence was entirely on the part of the rider of the motorcycle wherein fact even I was negligent. It is false to suggest that as my own negligence contributed to the accident I am not entitled for compensation to the extent sought for by me.

8. I do not have any document to substantiate my contention regarding my avocation and income prior to the accident. It is false to suggest that I have made false assertions regarding my monthly income prior to the accident just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same.

9. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident occurred due to negligence on my part, I have lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that as the accident occurred due to my negligence, I am not entitled for compensation as sought for by me. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the

respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru