

Witness called and duly sworn on: 02.02.2026

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MVG LEARNED COUNSEL FOR
RESPONDENT NO.2:**

1. The motorcycle bearing No.KA-45-Y-3818 is registered in my name. I am unable to use the said motorcycle now due to injuries sustained in the accident. At the time of accident I was proceeding from Nagarahole side towards Hunsuru side. I possessed a valid and subsisting D.L. which authorized me to ride a motorcycle at the time of accident. I have no impediment to produce the same before this court.

2. At the time of accident I was proceeding with my daughter. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident neither me nor my daughter were wearing helmets. Upon being questioned whether I had narrated the history before the doctors at the time of my admission at the hospital witness states that I do not recollect the same.

3. Immediately after the accident I was taken to Hunsur Govt. Hospital and from there I was taken to a hospital at Bengaluru for higher treatment. I do not recollect the name of the hospital at Bengaluru where I underwent treatment. I have studied up to 8 standard.

4. I underwent treatment as inpatient for about a week at hospital at Bengaluru and from there I was brought back to Mysuru and I underwent further treatment at K.R. Hospital Mysuru as an inpatient

for 15 days. Thereafter I underwent treatment as an inpatient at Vidyaranya Hospital Mysuru for 15 days. I do not know the names of the doctors who treated me at hospital at Bengaluru, K.R. Hospital Mysuru and Vidyaranya Hospital Mysuru. It is false to suggest that I have not produced any document to show that I underwent treatment at aforesaid hospitals as an inpatient for the periods asserted above.

5. As per my knowledge the FIS was lodged by my wife Smt. Jayanthi. One Sri. Manju is my full brother and he is an eye witness to the accident. It is false to suggest that inspite of the fact that the said Sri. Manju is not an eye witness, I have deposed false facts in support of the false case setup by me.

6. The rider of the offending motorcycle by name Sri. Nagaraju was known to me even prior the accident. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my own negligence in riding the motorcycle under the influence of the alcohol due to which I lost control over the vehicle resulting in self fall. It is false to suggest that the motorcycle bearing No. KA-09-JN-4325 was not at all involved in any accident and it is no where concerned to the present case and yet it was falsely implicated just to maintain this claim petition.

7. I underwent surgery on my right leg at Vidyaranya Hospital Mysuru. I did not undergo any surgery at Bengaluru. It is false to suggest that even to this day I am into fishing for my livelihood. It is false to suggest that I have falsely accerted that my monthly income prior to the accident by doing fishing and other work was around

Rs.20,000/- just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that with the sole intention of falsely implicating the vehicles the FIS was lodged belatedly by twisting the actual facts. It is false to suggest that the very fact that in none of the medical records the details of the offending vehicle are not mentioned goes to the show that the motorcycle bearing No. KA-09-JN-4325 was falsely implicated. It is false to suggest that the said Sri. Manju in collusion with the respondent No.1 and police has falsely implicated the motorcycle insured with respondent No.2 company at my behest just to maintain this claim petition.

8. It is false to suggest that perusal of Ex.P5 gives an impression that the negligence was entirely on my part who was riding the motorcycle. It is false to suggest that our vehicle was uninsured at the time of accident. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same.

6. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the accident

occurred due to my own negligence, I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created document I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to if any awarded by this tribunal.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru