

Witness called and duly sworn on: 11.08.2025

FURTHER EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY: SRI.BSS LEARNED COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:

Wherefore I pray that this Hon'ble Court be pleased to award compensation as prayed for.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. MVG LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:

1. After the accident I had not lost consciousness. I was proceeding towards my house by walk after getting down from the bus when the accident occurred. The bus stand is situated at a distance of about 500 meter from my house.

2. The accident was caused by motorcycle bearing No.KA-09-EC-6439. I have informed the doctors at Beguru Govt. Hospital and K.R.Hospital as to which vehicle caused the accident including its registration number. It is false to suggest that as I was not aware as to which vehicle caused the accident, I have only informed the doctors that the injuries were sustained in RTA without specifying the details of the vehicle. It is false to suggest that as none of the medical records

reveal the details of the vehicle involved in the accident goes to the show that the motorcycle insured with respondent No.2 company was not involved in any accident and yet it was falsely implicated.

3. The FIS pertaining to the accident was lodged by my uncle Sri. Shivashankar. The said Sri Shivashankar is not an eye witness to the accident. It is false to suggest that for the purpose of falsely implicating the motorcycle, the FIS was lodged belatedly.

4. Raghavapura is situated at a distance of about 4 K.M. from Beguru village. I knew one Sri Rangaswamy S/o Siddashetty even prior to the accident. Witness states that mother of Sri Rangaswamy is a native of the village where I reside.

5. It is false to suggest that though I was aged more than 41 years at the time of accident I have falsely asserted that I was aged about 36 years, just to claim higher compensation. At K.R.Hospital I underwent treatment as an inpatient for 8 days. I was treated by Dr. Sri. Sunil Kumar at K.R.Hospital. It is false to suggest that even to this day I am doing the same work which I was doing prior to the accident and yet I have falsely deposed that due to injuries I am unable to do any work.

6. Prior to the accident I was working as a Mason. It is false to suggest that even to this day I am earning well by doing Mason work and other work as such I have not suffered any loss of income due to injuries sustained in the accident. It is false to suggest that I have made false assertions regarding my avocation and income just to claim higher compensation.

7. It is false to suggest that I did not suffer injuries in any accident and yet in collusion with owner and rider of the motorcycle who are known to me I have lodged a false FIS so as to maintain this claim petition. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation.

8. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation. It is false to suggest that despite the fact that no other vehicle was involved in the accident, I have got lodged a false FIS and on the basis of created documents I have filed this false petition and deposed false facts in support of the same. It is false to suggest that all the medical bills and records have been created for the purpose of this case so as to claim higher compensation.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

sd/-

**Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru**