

Witness called and duly sworn on: 06.11.2025

FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. KTV LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.1:

14. I do not know if the GPS is fixed to bus bearing No.KA-53-A-6030. The petrol bunk is situated at a distance of about 10 to 20 feet from the spot where the accident occurred. It is false to suggest that at the time of accident the petrol bunk was being renovated as such materials had been stored by the side of the road. Witness states that only a pit had been done except for that no other materials were at the spot. It is true to suggest that pit had been done to put advertisement hoarding.

15. It is false to suggest that I sustained injuries as I accidentally slipped and fell into the pit. It is false to suggest that since I am employed with a company I have got the medical expenses reimbursed from the company. I am not aware if the respondent No.1 had approach the police to lodge the FIS narrating that no such accident was caused by bus bearing No.KA-53-A-6030. I am also not aware if the respondent No.1 had even lodged a complaint before the S.P., Mandya alleging that the bus belonging to the school had been falsely implicated in this case. I am not aware if bus bearing No.KA-53-A-6030 was insured at the time of accident or not. I am also not aware if the driver of the bus bearing No.KA-53-A-6030 possessed a valid and subsisting D.L. at the time of accident.

16. It is false to suggest that I had already resigned from the company at the time of accident. Witness states that on the date of accident I

was on leave. I have not produced document before this tribunal in this regard. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that medical bills have been created for the purpose of this case. It is false to suggest that inspite of having sustained injuries due to self fall I have lodged a false petition by twisting the actual facts to suit my claim. It is false to suggest that a false petition is filed against respondent No.1 with an intention to make unlawful gain.

17. It is false to suggest that since bus bearing No.KA-53-A-6030 was not involved in any accident, the respondent No.1 is not liable to pay any compensation to me.

RE - EXAMINATION : NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

**Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru**