

Witness called and duly sworn on: 06.10.2025

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. BMM FOR SRI. KTV LEARNED COUNSEL RESPONDENT NO.1:

1. At the time of accident I was proceeding from Tumkuru to Mysuru. At the time of accident I was alone. The offending bus came from rear side and cause the accident. I cannot say as to the distance at which the bus was when I first sighted it. There is a petrol bunk on the left side of the spot. It is false to suggest that there is an underpass near the spot. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that there is an underpass where the villagers reach the other side, I have false the denied the same.

2. It is true to suggest that there is a speed breaker existing on this spot. It is false to suggest that the underpass is situated at a distance of about 200 meters from the spot where the accident occurred. Witness states that the underpass is not existing close by. It is false to suggest that as there exists a speed breaker and an underpass it is not possible to drive the bus at a high speed.

3. The front right side body of the bus came in contact with left side body of my motorcycle. Due to impact I fell towards my left. There is a drainage existing near the spot. I fell on the road where as my motorcycle fell inside the drainage.

Deferred for further cross examination of PW.1 at the request of learned counsel for respondent No.1.

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-
Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru

