

Witness called and duly sworn on: 16.07.2025

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. JSK LEARNED COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:

1. I have studied upto SSLC at Govt. School, Mulluru. My father is into tiles work. I was working as a barber at Prakash Men's Parlour, Rathnapuri Village, Hunsur prior to the accident. I used to work at barber shop belonging to some other person. I had no impediment to specify in my petition as well as affidavit that I was working as a barber under some other person nor did I have any impediment to specify the name of said person as well as the place where the said barber shop was located. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the barber shop belonged to my father I have falsely deposed that the barber shop where I was working belonged to some other person. I do not have any document in support of my assertion that I was earning Rs.20,000/- per month prior to the accident.

2. The accident occurred around 7.30 to 8 p.m. It was a head on collision between two motorcycles. I have no impediment to produce my D.L. before this court. Witness states that the same is with the police ever since I met with accident. I handed over my D.L. to the police as per their direction. I had procured the D.L. about 3 years prior to the accident from Hunsur RTO. It is false to suggest that I have falsely deposed that I possessed a D.L. at the time of accident inspite of the fact that I did not possess a D.L.

3. I was wearing a head gear at the time of accident. I have no knowledge as to what happened to the said helmet after the accident. I did not observe if the rider of the offending vehicle was alone or if he was accompanied by a pillion rider at the time of accident. I cited the offending motorcycle when it was at the distance of about 10 feet. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my negligence as I dashed my vehicle against the motorcycle insured with respondent No.2 company as I did not observe the same. It is false to suggest that the accident occurred due to my own negligence as I rode the motorcycle without a D.L. and without wearing head gear. It is false to suggest that had I worn a head gear I might not have suffered any injuries on my head and face region.

4. Immediately after the accident I was admitted to Govt. Hospital, Hunsur thereafter I was brought to KVC Hospital and eventually I was admitted to Vidyaranya Hospital. I underwent treatment as an inpatient for a day at KVC Hospital. After being discharged from Vidyaranya Hospital I did not undergo treatment as an inpatient at

any other hospital for the injuries sustained by me in the accident. I was treated by Dr. Sri Harsha Hulyappa, Dr. Sri. Kalappa, Dr. Sri. Anand and Dr. Smt. Kavitha of Vidyananya Hospital. The said Dr. Sri Harsha Hulyappa for head injury, Dr. Sri. Kalappa, for facial injury, Dr. Sri. Anand for injury to my hand and Dr. Smt. Kavitha to my eye injury.

5. The said Dr. Sri Harsha Hulyappa did not perform any surgery on my head. It is false to suggest that I have not incurred medical expenses to the extent forthcoming from the medical bills, yet the bills have been procured to suit my claim for higher compensation.

6. None of the said doctors or any other doctor has issued any disability certificate with respect to disability suffered by me due to injuries sustained in the accident. Apart from the medical documents got exhibited by me I have records with respect to my last consultation visit. That apart I do not have any other medical records available with me. I consulted Dr. Sri Harsha Hulyappa, Dr. Sri. Kalappa, Dr. Sri. Anand and Dr. Smt. Kavitha of Vidyananya Hospital about a week back i.e., 07.07.2025. I was informed by all the doctors that I have not recovered fully. I did not pay any amount during my last consultation visit. The doctors did not procure my X-ray on that day. The doctors had not advised me to undergo physiotherapy sessions.

7. It is false to suggest that I have falsely avared in my petition as well as my affidavit that I am suffering from various infirmities and difficulties just to claim higher compensation though I do not have any such disabilities. It is false to suggest that I have not suffered

disability due to injuries sustained in the accident and yet I have deposed false facts just to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I am in a position to do all the work which I was doing prior to the accident and hence I am not entitled for any compensation.

8. It is false to suggest that all the medical bills and records have been created for the purpose of this case so as to claim higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have deposed false facts before this court in support of my claim for higher compensation. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false petition on the basis of created and concocted documents and have deposed false facts in support of the same as such the respondent No.2 company is not liable to pay any compensation to me if any awarded by this tribunal.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

sd/-
Prl.Judge ,
Court of SC., & MACT., Mysuru