

IN THE COURT OF PRL. JUDGE, COURT OF SMALL CAUSES
AND MACT., MYSURU.
MVC.NO . 221/2023

Witness Name	:	Vasanth Eshwar Chavan	RW.1
Father Name	:	Eshwar Chavan	
Age	:	34 years	
Occupation	:	RTO, Mysuru West	
Residence	:	Mysuru	

Witness called and duly sworn on: 04.02.2026

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY: SRI. BGK LEARNED COUNSEL
FOR RESPONDENT NO.2:

I have been working as RTO, Mysuru (West) from the past 7 months.

2. I received the summons from this court directing me to appear before the court along with the permit extract pertaining to vehicle bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801 which is a passenger carrying (Stage carriage) Private bus.

3. Upon verifying the records available with us, I ascertained that the previous permit procured with respect to bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801 had lapsed on 13.07.2021 and fresh temporary permit was procured with effect from 03.08.2022, which shows that as on the date of accident which is 02.08.2022, the bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801 did not have a valid permit. In this regard I have produced the documents pertaining to permits procured prior to the accident and after the accident in respect of bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801.

4. The previous permit issued in respect of bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801 had been transferred in favour of bus bearing No. TN-49-AF-6670 with effect from 13.07.2021 as such I am stating that the previous permit issued in respect of bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801 had lapsed on 13.07.2021.

Ex.R1	Attested copies of documents pertaining to permit extract and transfer of permit issued in respect of bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801
Ex.R2	True copy of the temporary permit procured in respect of bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801

CROSS EXAMINATION BY: SRI. TGN LEARNED COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER:

5. It is true to suggest that as per the records produced by me today the permit previously procured in respect of bus bearing No.AP-02-TB-5801 was transferred to another vehicle. Upon being suggested that the previous permit was in force as on date of accident, witness states that though the permit was in force but the same was applicable to some other vehicle i.e., TN-49-AF-6670.

6. I do not have details regarding the date on which application was filed and necessary fees was paid for the purpose of procuring Ex.R2 temporary permit. It is false to suggest that the permit becomes valid from the date on which the fee was paid. Witness states that the permit comes into effect from the date of issuance and for the period mentioned in the permit only.

7. It is false to suggest that inspite of being aware that the validity of the permit gets pre-dated to the date on which the fee was paid and not the date on which the permit was issued and yet I have deposed false facts just to support the defense set up by the Respondent No.3 company. It is false to suggest that I have intentionally withheld the production of documents pertaining to application filed and fees paid for the purpose of procuring Rx.R2 permit just to help the case of Respondent No.3 company.

RE-EXAMINATION – NIL

(Typed to my dictation in the open court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

Sd/-

Prl. Judge,
Court of S.C. & MACT., Mysuru.