

**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDL. DISTRICT AND  
SESSIONS JUDGE, MYSURU**

S.C. No. 246/2023

DEPOSITION OF	DR. SHILPA .M		
HUSBAND NAME	DR. VIKRAM .D		P.W. : 14
AGE	36 YEARS:		C.W. : 24
	CAUSUALITY MEDICAL		
PROFESSION	OFFICER, K.R. HOSPITAL, MYSURU.		
RESIDENCE	MYSURU.		

**WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 09.01.2026**

I am working in K.R. Hospital as a doctor since March 2017 till date.

On 13.12.2022 around 10.40 pm Patient by name Suresh S/o. Krishnamurthy, aged 49 years, Ward No.22, Mochi Colony, Pension Mohalla, Hassan was brought by Mandimohalla Police. In 108 ambulance.

He was brought to the hospital with the history of assault at about 9.30 pm on 13.12.2022. By 5 to 6 known people using sharp weapons such as knife, blade and machet, Near Gumnamshah Gudda, Mandimohalla, Mysuru.

I have examined the patient I have found that, the patient is conscious and oriented and I found that, there are multiple injuries on the patient. I found One cut open wound at both mouth angle extending up to middle cheek, cut wound at right

ankle from behind bone and tendons are visible and active bleeding present. Cut lacerated wound medial aspect of left ankle.

After preliminary examination I referred to patient to surgery and Ortho ENT department.

The patient was admitted and he undergone treatment. After the treatment the patient was diagnosed with multiple facial bone fracture and soft tissue injury over face with right achilles tendon partial tear secondary to assault. There is a suturing of laceration and below knee slab in partial flexion and followed by conservative management was done. Patient was discharged on 24.12.2022. Based on the report it was opened that, injury 1 and 2 are grievous in nature and Injury No.3 is simple in nature. Accordingly, I have issued wound certificate. Witness identified MLC Extract. Same is marked as Ex.P.22. Signature of the witness as Ex.P.22(a). Witness identified Wound certificate. Same is marked as Ex.P.23. Signature of the witness as Ex.P.23(a). Witness also identified the City Scan Report. Same is marked as Ex.P.24.

After that, I have received requisition from I.O to give opinion on examination of the Weapon. Along with the requisition I have received articles in sealed cover. On opening of the sealed cover I found One knife measuring 15 centimeter with wooden handle and One cutter blade measuring 7 centimeter. I have subjected the said Weapons for examination after that, I have submitted report that, the wounds mentioned in the wound certificate of the patient by name Suresh are possible if assaulted with knife and cutter blade received for examination. After

examination of the Weapons they were re-packed sealed and sent to I.O.

Accordingly I have issued report. Witness identified report. Same is marked as Ex.P.25. Signature of the witness marked as Ex.P.25(a).

I can identify the weapons which are subjected examination. The articles which are packed and sealed are opened in the Open Court and found One knife and one cutter blade. Witness identifies knife. Same is marked as M.O.12 and Cutter blade is marked as M.O.13.

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY ADVOCATE FOR ACCUSED :**

History of injury was given by patient himself. It is true to suggest that, patient has stated that, 5 to 6 people have assaulted. Whether the patient was drunk witness deposed that, same is not mentioned in the MLC. I am not noticed.

In respect of the first injury we have not mentioned the age of the injury. Witness deposed that, it is fresh injury as such same is not mentioned in the MLC otherwise, it will be mentioned as old injury. It is true to suggest that, in the first injury there is no active bleeding.

There are 3 injuries mentioned in the wound certificate to which of the injuries suturing was done. Witness deposed that, all the injuries are cut injuries, hence, suturing was done to all the injuries. It is true to suggest that, the length width and the depth of the injuries are mentioned in the wound certificate.

If the person under the intoxication falls on sharp objects the injury No.1 to 3 may be possible. Witness depose that, it is possible.

When the requisition was given to examine the weapon. Witness depose that, the requisition was given by the I.O and same is in the record and not able to the collect at this points of time. The said requisition is not enclosed along with the report and wound certificate to copy will be kept in the office record.

I have not submitted any covering letter along with the wound certificate and report of the weapons. I can not say with which weapon which injury is caused i can only say that, the injuries are possible in the said weapons.

I have not found blood stain on the said weapons which I have examined. It is false to suggest that, I have not examined any weapon.

It is false to suggest that, I have not subjected the patient for examination I have issued the wound certificate at the request of the I.O to suit the present case. It is false to suggest that, the I have issued report with regard to weapon on the request and the same of the I.O. It is true suggest that, the patient was discharged when he was in stable condition.

**Re examination:** Nil

**TYPED TO MY DICTATION IN THE OPEN COURT.**

**R. O. I. & A. C.,**

**Sd/-**

**III ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,  
MYSURU.**