

**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, MYSURU**

SC No. 199/2025

DEPOSITION OF	;	Dr. Smitha G.	P.W. : 16
HUSBAND NAME	:	Shivamurthy .S	C.W. : 23
AGE	;	48 YEARS:	
PROFESSION	:	Assistant Director, RFSL, Ballari.	
RESIDENCE	:	Ballari.	

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 26.03.2026

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR :

I am working from 2014 till 2023 I have worked as a Scientific Officer and Senior Scientific Office Mysuru.

On 27.12.2022 our office has received 17 sealed articles from Mandi Police station pertaining Crime No. 140/2022 for chemical examination and same articles were registered at our reception with office No.RFSLMYS/FLMS/BS/877/2022. From registration Section the article were sent to biology section and I have received those articles on 27.12.2022. The case was allotted to me FLMS system.

When I took up the articles for examination I found the articles are duly packed and seals were intact and tallied with sample seals sent by the investigating Officer. When I opened the articles I found the following articles.

Article No.1 One Shirt,

Article No.2 One Pant,

Article No.5 Sticker Cutter,

Article No.6 One Knife,

Article No.7 Mud,

Article No.8 Sample Mud,

Article No.9 One Stone,

Article No.10 One rope,

Article No.11 One T-shirt,

Article No.12 One Black Pant

Article N.13 Blood sample

Article No.14. Blood Sample

Article No.15 One wrist watch

Article No.16 One Shirt

Article No.17 One Bunion

I have subjected the above said articles for physical and chemical examination and based on my examination I Opined that, blood stain was detected in Article No.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 12, 15, 16 and 17.

Blood stain was not detected in Article No.8.

Article No.1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16 and 17 were stained with human blood.

Article Nos.1, 2 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16 and 17 were stained with O positive blood.

Blood samples in Article No.13 and 14 were of human O positive group.

Accordingly, I have issued digitally signed report to the concerned Police station through FLMS system. After examination all the articles were re-packed sealed with office seal and sent to the concerned police station with the sample seals of our office.

Now, I can identify the articles I have examined. Witness identifies the report. Same is marked as Ex.P.36 (Already marked in SC No.246/2023). Witness identifies M.O. 1 to 13.

The sealed articles are opened in the Open Court. The sealed cover contain One shirt same is marked as M.O.14, One Pant marked as M.O.15, One Shirt marked as M.O.16, One Pant marked as M.O.17. (Already marked in SC No.246/2023)

CROSS EXAMINATION BY ADVOCATE FOR ACCUSED No.3 :

The 17 articles were received separately and same are separate packed. I am not mentioned the description of sample seal. I have not mentioned the colour of the articles. I am not send the observation sheet to the concerned I.O. It is false to suggest that, since the observation sheet is not sent it is difficult to identify the articles.

It is true to suggest that, I have not mentioned in my report in which article which seal. It is false to suggest that, since I have not submitted observation sheet to help the police I am identifying the articles.

Cross examination of A1 and A2:

Counsel for A1 and A2 adopts the cross examination by A3.

Re examination:

Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court)

R. O. I. & A. C.,

Sd/-

**III ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
MYSURU.**