

**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDL. DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, MYSURU**

SC No. 338/2022

DEPOSITION OF	:	Dr. Vijay Kumar A.G	P.W. : 6
FATHERS/ NAME	:	A. Govindgowda	C.W. : 7
AGE	:	42 YEARS:	
PROFESSION	:	Medical Officer, Kaveri Hospital, Mysuru.	
RESIDENCE	:	Mysuru.	

WITNESS DULY SWORN ON 16.04.2026

EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR :

I am working as a medical officer from 2013 till date.

On 11.04.2021 patient by name Fairuze came with history of assault on 10.04.2021 at about 9.30 pm by Ramesh (Nabour) using wooden rod at his residency. I have subjected him examination I found the injuries 1) Multiple contusion over right and mid parietal region. CT Head shows fracture of Mastoid part of right temporal bone with Hemomastoid and Hemotympanum. After examination he was treated with medicines, After that, I have issued wound certificate. I am of the opinion that, the Injury No.1 is grievous in nature.

Witness identifies wound certificate is marked as Ex.P.3.
Signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P.3(a).

If the patient was assaulted with M.O.1 injuries mentioned in the wound certificate are possible.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY ADVOCATE FOR ACCUSED :

M.O.1 wooden rod was not sent for examination by the concerned police.

When the patient arise with history of assault or quarrel the register will be maintain for MLC cases. In this present case MLC is registered and same is intimated to the police.

Patient has visited our hospital on 11.04.2021 at 6.30 pm. It is true to suggest that, the said timing is not mentioned in the wound certificate. Whether the wound was fresh when you have examined the patient? Witness depose that, it is already treated. He was treated in K.R. Hospital. The patient has not furnished any document about his treatment in K.R. Hospital and I have not verified those documents.

Since there is a fracture on the head I have opined that, the injury is in grievous in nature. The scan reports are not produced for the same. History was given by patient as well as his attended sister Gulnaz. It is true suggest that, same is not mentioned in the wound certificate. If persons falls on the ground the said injury mentioned in the wound certificate is possible? Witness depose that, if he falls from the height in the said injury may the possible. It is false to suggest that, I have issued false wound certificate.

Re examination: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the Open Court)

R. O. I. & A. C.,

**Sd/-
III ADDL. DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
MYSURU.**

(At this time, learned Public Prosecutor seeks permission to open the sealed articles shown in PF No.80/2020 at Sr.No.1 to 9 and to show the same to the witness, Perused and permitted.)