



**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT
AND SESSIONS JUDGE, MYSURU.**

Dated this the 8th day of May, 2026

:: PRESENT ::

Sri Mallanagouda, B.Com., LL.M.,
II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mysuru.

SC/268/2021

COMPLAINANT :: The State by T.Narasipura
Police Station, Mysuru
District.

(By : Public Prosecutor)

V/s

ACCUSED :: Mahesh Kumar,
S/o. Late Nanjundaiah,
Aged about 24 years,
R/at. Ambedkar Beedi,
Muguru, T. Narasipura Taluk,
Mysuru District.

(Judicial Custody)

(By : Sri. R.E., - Adv.,)

~~*

Date of offence :	25.08.2021
Date of report of offence :	26.08.2021
Name of the Complainant:	Poojashree M.

Date of commencement of recording of evidence :	07.09.2022
Date of closing of recording evidence :	28.02.2026
Offence/s complained of :	U/Sec.302 and 201 of I.P.C.
Opinion of the Judge :	Convicted

(Mallanagouda)

II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mysuru.

::JUDGMENT::

This is the case in which Police Inspector of T.Narasipura Police Station has filed charge sheet against the accused for the offences punishable under Sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C.

2. The brief facts of the prosecution case are as under:

It is the case of the prosecution that, accused and complainant are loving each other from one year after knowing about their affair deceased Bhagyalakshmi who is the mother of the complainant warned the complainant and convinced her stating that accused is

doing coolie work and complainant is studying Nursing, hence, they wants to marry her with a government official and she advised the complainant not to proceed further with the accused. Thereafter, complainant stopped talking to accused, but accused was trying to talk to the complainant, by knowing the said act of the accused deceased Bhagyalakshmi used to scold the accused because of which accused was having grudge against the deceased Bhagyalakshmi and on 25.08.2021 at 6.20 pm., beside the kachcha road situated on Chamaraja Right Bank Channel 39th Sub-channel, between Muguru-Hosahalli, when deceased Bhagyalakshmi was going to attend nature call accused was going on the said road on his bicycle towards his land, by seeing the accused deceased Bhagyalakshmi started scolding the accused because of which with intention to commit murder of the deceased accused assaulted deceased Bhagyalakshmi with L-coat (ಗೂಡ್ಡಲಿ) on her head at that time deceased

Bhagyalakshmi has fell down and then accused again assaulted her with same weapon on her face, head, chin and eyes and committed murder of the deceased. Thereafter, with intention to destroy the evidence the accused took the dead body of deceased Bhagyalakshmi with intention to hide it some where, came back to house took gunny bag from the house and then by keeping gunny bag on the dead body of the Bhagyalakshmi hidden the dead body in a pipe and thereafter he threw the mobile phone of the Bhagyalakshmi in the sub-channel. Thereby, accused has committed offences under sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C.

3. After due investigation, the Charge-Sheet is filed by the I.O against the accused, Jurisdictional Magistrate has taken cognizance of the offences and registered a case in C.C.No.780/2021 on the file of the Senior Civil Judge and JMFC., T.Narasipura. As per section 209 of Cr.PC case was committed to Principal

District and Sessions Court, Mysuru and same has been made over to this court for trial. All the prosecution papers are supplied to the accused as contemplated under Section 207 of Cr.P.C.

4. During investigation I.O. has arrested the accused and he is in judicial custody.

5. Charge was framed against the accused for the offences under Sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C., read over to him and explained to him in the language known to him. He pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried. Therefore, trial has been conducted against the present accused.

6. To prove the guilt of the accused, the prosecution examined 17 witnesses out of 30 charge sheet witnesses as P.Ws.1 to 17, got marked the documents as per Ex.P-1 to Ex.P-66 and Mos.1 to 13 on

its behalf. On the other hand, the accused has got marked the documents as per Ex.D.1 to 5 on his behalf.

7. When statement of the accused has been recorded under section 313 of Cr.P.C., the accused has denied all the incriminating evidence appeared against him.

8. Heard arguments, perused the materials on record.

9. On the basis of the above facts, the following points have arisen for my consideration :-

- (1) Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that on 25.08.2021 at about 6.20 pm., at Chamaraja Right Channel-39 Sub-channel, situated between Muguru-Hosalli, on kachcha road, T.Narasipura Taluk within the jurisdiction of T.Narasipura Police Station the accused quarreled with Bhagyalakshmi and assaulted with spade (pickaxe) on her face, head, chin and right eye, as a result of which she died and thereby committed the murder of

Bhagyalakshmi and accused committed murder by intentionally and knowingly causing the death of Bhagyalakshmi and thereby committed the offence punishable U/Sec. 302 of I.P.C. ?

- (2) Whether the prosecution proves beyond all reasonable doubt that on the above said date, time and place the accused committed murder of Bhagyalakshmi and knowing that murder of Bhagyalakshmi has been committed with an intention to screen himself from legal punishment caused disappearance of evidence of commission of that offence, to wit kept the dead body of Bhagyalakshmi in the channel bridge pipe by covering her dead body with gunny bag and thereby committed the offence punishable U/Sec.201 of I.P.C. ?

- (3) What Order ?

10. My answers to the above points are as hereunder :

Point No.1 : In the Affirmative

Point No.2 : In the Affirmative

Point No.3 : As per final order

for the following:

:: REASONS ::

11. **POINT NO.1 AND 2:** Since these points are inter-related the same are taken up together for discussion to avoid repetition of discussion.

12. It is the case of the prosecution that, accused and complainant are loving each other from one year after knowing about their affair deceased Bhagyalakshmi warned the complainant and convinced her stating that accused is doing coolie work and complainant is studying Nursing, hence, they wants to marry her with a government official and she advised the complainant not to proceed further with the accused. Thereafter, complainant stopped talking to accused, but accused was trying to talk to the complainant, by knowing the said act of the accused deceased Bhagyalakshmi used to scold the accused because of which accused was having grudge against the deceased Bhagyalakshmi and on 25.08.2021 at 6.20 pm., beside the kachcha road situated on

Chamaraja Right Bank Channel 39th Sub-channel, between Muguru-Hosahalli, when deceased Bhagyalakshmi was going to attend nature call accused was going on the said road on his bicycle towards his land by seeing the accused deceased Bhagyalakshmi started scolding the accused because of which with intention to commit murder of the deceased accused assaulted deceased Bhagyalakshmi with L-coat (ಗೂಡುಲಿ) on her head at that time deceased Bhagyalakshmi has fell down and then accused again assaulted her with same weapon on her face, head, chin and eyes and committed murder of the deceased. Thereafter, with intention to destroy the evidence the accused took the dead body of deceased Bhagyalakshmi with intention to hide it some where, came back to house took gunny bag from the house and then by keeping gunny bag on the dead body of the Bhagyalakshmi hidden the dead body in a pipe and thereafter he threw the mobile phone of the

Bhagyalakshmi in the sub-channel. Thereby, accused has committed offences under sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C.

13. Now during evidence PW.1/complainant has deposed stating that on 25.08.2021 at 5.45 pm., her mother had been for walking, after that she did not return due to which they searched for her mother here and there, but she was not available. On 26.08.2021 at about 3.00 pm., somebody has informed her brother about finding her mother's dead body near a channel, after that they went near the channel and found dead body of her mother with injuries on her neck, face, eyebrow, said injuries were caused by using a weapon. Further, in that place they found blood stained leafs with long hair, her mother's slippers, bangle pieces, umbrella and blood stained mud. After that, she filed a complaint as per Ex.P.1. Thereafter, police conducted Mahazar as per Ex.P.2, seized the articles found at the spot, accused is her neighbour, he used to follow her

while going for college and he was forcing her to love him, when she informed her mother she told her that she performs her marriage with a government servant, when she informed the same he told that, he will take revenge against her mother and he will see that nobody marries her. Further on the same day Mahadevaswamy came to their house to pay Rs.500/-, after knowing about her mother missing said Mahadevaswamy, her brother and one Nagaraju had been to search her at that time they found the accused coming towards village in his bicycle along with Guddali (mattock/pickaxe) in a fearful face. On next day in the after noon she heard about finding her mother's dead body and accused committed murder of her mother.

14. PW.2 namely Shivanna who is the witness to the Spot Mahazar deposed stating that, deceased Bhagyalakshmi died due to murder, as people were talking about murder of Bhagyalakshmi he went to the place near Hosahalli channel to see the dead body, at

that time himself and Rajesh have brought out the dead body from channel, at that time he found injuries on neck, face, eyes and back side of the head. At that time police have conducted Mahazar at the spot and recovered articles like umbrella, blood stained mud, slippers, bloodstained hair with leaves and bangle pieces and they conducted mahazar as per Ex.P.2.

15. PW.3 namely Smt.Nagamani who is one of the witness to Inquest Mahazar deposed stating that, deceased Bhagyalakshmi is her relative, now Bhagyalakshmi died about 2 years back, at that time when she visited T.Narasipura government hospital to see the dead body police obtained her signature on Ex.P.12. At that time she found injuries to head, chin, neck of the dead body, subsequently she came to know that accused himself committed murder of deceased Bhagyalakshmi.

16. PW.4 namely Mahadevaswamy @ Dwaraki has deposed stating that, on 25.08.2021 at 4.30 pm.,

deceased Bhagyalakshmi called him over phone and asked for Rs.500/-, at that time he assured to pay the money after some time, Bhagyalakshmi told him that she is going for walking and asked him to pay money to CW.1, accordingly at about 6.00 pm., he went to house of CW.1 to pay Rs.500/- to her. When he was returning from the house of CW.1 accused was going on his bicycle by keeping L-coat towards Hasahallimule channel. Thereafter, at 6.30 pm., when he called Bhagyalakshmi her phone was switched off. Thereafter, when he called CW.1 over phone by hearing noise out side the house CW.1 told him that, her mother has returned, but again at 8.00 pm., CW.1 called him and informed about her mother not returning home. Thereafter, they went to Hosahallimule channel and searched for Bhagyalakshmi at that time accused came from opposite direction in a fearful face by walk and bicycle was not with him, when they put battery light towards

accused he went away and his cloths were wet. On that day though they searched for Bhagyalakshmi till 9.30 pm., they were unable to find Bhagyalakshmi, on 26.08.2021 at about 3.00 pm., some persons who had been to work in the land have informed about finding dead body beside channel pipe. After that, he came to know that accused himself has committed murder of Bhagyalakshmi, as accused wanted to marry CW.1 and Bhagyalakshmi objected for the same accused committed murder of deceased Bhagyalakshmi.

17. PW.5 namely Madaiah who is the father of the deceased has deposed stating that, Bhagyalakshmi is his daughter, she was married to a person from Baroda village, but subsequently in view of matrimonial dispute she came back to her house, on 25.08.2021 his daughter went to walking but she has not returned from walking. Accordingly, they searched for his daughter but they were not successful. Subsequently, as some body informed about finding

dead body of his daughter in the channel he himself and other persons went to channel and found dead body of his daughter.

18. PW.6 is the staff of the Muguru Gramapanchayath and witness to Mahazar conducted for seizure of the bicycle and weapon allegedly used by the accused has deposed stating that, in view of the order from the Tahasildar office to be witness to Mahazar, on 31.08.2021 he went to T.Narasipura Police Station along with CW.11 at that time accused was also there in the police station. Police informed that accused shows some places and they want to conduct Mahazar. Thereafter, on that day at about 3.15 pm., they left the police station accused took them to land of Nanjaiah situated at Muguru-Hosahalli road, near Kabini Right bank, 39th channel and he said that in that place he has thrown the mobile phone of Bhagyalakshmi, when searched they were unable to find the phone and police conducted mahazar as per

Ex.P.13. Thereafter, accused took them near the bridge and told them that in that place he put the dead body of Bhagyalakshmi in the pipe and police conducted mahazar as per Ex.P.22. Subsequently, accused took them to house situated in Muguru Ambedkar colony, there he produced a cycle and L-coat (ಗೂಡ್‌ಕೋಟ್) and told them that he used the cycle and L-coat to commit the offence. Accordingly, police conducted mahazar and seized the said cycle and L-coat.

19. PW.7 is another witness to seizure of the cycle and L-coat, has deposed stating that on 31.08.2021 as per the order of Tahasildar, himself and CW.10 had been to T.Narasipura Police Station, accused was also there in the police station, police informed them about conducting mahazar as per information of the accused. Thereafter, accused took them to land of one Nanjaiah and informed that he has thrown the mobile phone of Bhagyalakshmi in that place, in search police were unable to find any such

mobile phone and thereafter accused took them to bridge and told them that he put the dead body of Bhagyalakshmi in the pipe. Later on accused took them to his house situated at Muguru, Ambedkar Colony there he produced cycle and guddali (pickaxe) and told them that he used the said pickaxe for committing murder. Accordingly, police conducted mahazer as per Ex.P.31.

20. PW.8 is the son of the deceased Bhagyalakshmi he has deposed that on 25.08.2021 at about 5.30 to 6.00 pm., his mother went for walking. Thereafter, Mahadevaswamy visited their house to pay Rs.500/- to his sister. After that at about 7.00 pm., his sister sent him to find their mother. Thereafter, he himself, Mahadevaswamy, Nagaraja @ Kurakuri had been to Hosahallimule to search their mother. But they were unable to find her, on 26.08.2021 they searched for their mother till after noon, but at that time also they were unable to find her, but at 4.00 pm.,

some body informed about finding dead body near 39th channel after that immediately they went near the channel and found the dead body. As Mahesh Kumar used to tease his sister telling that, he is loving her his mother was scolding said Mahesh Kumar. At that time Mahesh Kumar used to threaten his mother, on 31.08.2021 police arrested said Mahesh Kumar and some body informed that said Mahesh Kumar has committed murder and police arrested him.

21. PW.9 namely Nagaraju @ Kurakure deposed stating that, deceased Bhagyalakshmi is his uncle's daughter, he knows CW.2 also, Bhagyalakshmi was married to one Mahadeva but around 3-4 years back Bhagyalakshmi came back to her parents house and residing there only. About 4 years back one day when he slept at that time at about 9.00 pm., Shreyas called him and informed about his mother not returning from walking, after that, they all searched for Bhagyalakshmi, but they were unable to find her. But

next day also they searched for her and they were not successful and later on at about 3-4 pm., somebody informed Shreyas about finding dead body of Bhagyalakshmi near channel after that they all went near the channel and found dead body of Bhagyalakshmi.

22. PW.10 namely Sanju who is the photographer has deposed about taking photographs at the time of conducting Mahazar.

23. PW.11 is the Deputy Director of RFSL who scientifically examined the material objects seized by the I.O. has deposed stating that, when he examined leaves, bangle pieces, slippers, umbrella, sample mud, gunny bag, vaginal swab, nighty, guddali (pickaxe) T-shirt and nicker he found blood stains in leaves, slippers, mud, gunny bag and nighty. The said blood stains were of human blood and of group 'B' and he issued report as per Ex.P.56.

24. PW.12 is the witness for the seizure mahazar conducted for seizure of the cloths, mobile phone belonging to accused has deposed about said mahazar marked at Ex.P.57 and he identified the said cloths and mobile which are marked at M.O.9, 10 and 13.

25. PW.13 namely P.Ramesh who is the Police Head Constable deposed stating that, on 28.08.2021 he was deputed along with P.S.I. Manju and P.C.No.601 Madesha for searching the accused in Cr.No.290/2021. Thereafter, they searched in Hosahalli, Hosahallimule, Muguru, Madrahalli and Kuruburu etc., but they were unable to find the accused. On 31.08.2021 as per the information given by the informant at about 1.30 pm., they found the accused in Muguru bus stand, they took him to their custody and produced before the Police Inspector.

26. PW.14 is the Medical Officer who conducted the Postmortem examination deposed stating that, on

27.08.2021 as per the request made by T.Narasipura police she examined the dead body of Bhagyalakshmi, at that time she found 4½ cm clean cut wound just above lateral 1/3rd of left eyebrow, 3 cm long horizontal clean cut just below left inferior orbital margin, 10 cm long, horizontal clean cut over chin, 3 cm long just below and parallel to injuries over chin. Y-shaped long clean cut injury with long arm, 7 cm and short arm 3 cm with stem 3 cm (horizontal), 6 cm below chin, 3 cm above sternal notch, bilateral common carotids and jugulars totally cut at c4-c5 level trachea, oesophagus totally severed at c4-c5 level. And she issued P.M. report as per Ex.P.60.

27. PW.15 namely Manju H.D. the then police Inspector has deposed stating that, on 26.08.2021 at about 6.00 pm., CW.1 filed complaint on the basis of which he registered F.I.R in Cr.No.290/2021, on 28.08.2021 he was deputed to search the accused, on 31.08.2021 he found the accused near Muguru bus

stand, he took him to custody and produced before the I.O.

28. PW.16 namely Prabhakar .C who is A.S.I has deposed about assisting the I.O. for writing Mahazar and taking photographs.

29. PW.17 is the Police Inspector who conducted most part of the investigation has deposed stating that, on 26.08.2021 he received the file in Cr.No.290/2021 on the same day he visited the spot and conducted Spot Mahazar as per Ex.P.2, recovered the articles like blood stained leafs, bangle pieces, slipper, umbrella, blood stained mud and sample mud, gunny bag. On 27.08.2021 he conducted Inquest Mahazar as per Ex.P.12 in the presence of Nagamani, Ankaiah and Mahendra and requested the Medical officer to conduct postmortem examination. On 28.08.2021 he recorded the statement of Madaiah and Shreyas. On 29.08.2021 recorded the statement of husband of the deceased. On 31.08.2021 he received visra vaginal swab, nighty

collected by the doctors at the time of postmortem examination. On the same day he recorded the statement of WPC No.475 Nagarathna and accused was produced at 1.30 pm., on enquiry accused has confessed. Accordingly, he recorded the confession statement of the accused, as per statement of the accused he took him to the place of incident and then to the place where accused has thrown the mobile of the deceased and then accused took them to his house and produced bicycle used by him during incident and also pickaxe in the presence of panchas. Thereafter, he recovered cloths belonging to accused and touch screen mobile from him. Thereafter, got medical examined the accused and produced him before the Court. On 02.09.2021 he produced CW.2 Mahadevaswamy before the Court for recording the statement, on the same day his statement was recorded before the Court and he received copy of the same. On 09.09.2021 he requested the A.E.E of P.W.D

Department for preparing the sketch and on 28.10.2021 he received the sketch. On 29.10.2021 he received FSL report. Thereafter, he sent FSL report and pickaxe to the medical officer to give final opinion of the death. After that, doctors gave final opinion in the P.M. report. Thereafter, he requested the A.E.E Kabini Right Bank channal for giving report regarding water level in the channel. After that, as there is material to show that, accused and complainant was loving each other and deceased was opposing them, on 25.08.2021 at about 6.20 pm., when deceased went to attend natural call accused was also going there she started scolding him, thereafter, with intention to teach a lesson to her and eliminate her accused has committed murder of deceased by assaulting with pickaxe thereby accused has committed the offences punishable under Section 302 and 201 of I.P.C., he filed charge sheet.

30. Documents like Complaint, Spot Mahazar, Photographs, Inquest Mahazar, Seizure Mahazar etc.,

are marked at Ex.P.1 to 66. M.Os like blood stained leafs, bangle pieces, slipper, umbrella etc., are marked at M.O.1 to 13.

31. Now during evidence learned Public Prosecutor has argued stating that, PW.1 is the daughter of the deceased, she deposed about deceased opposing proposal of the accused to marry her in detail and seeing the body of the deceased Bhagyalakshi after the incident. PW.4 Mahadevaswamy has deposed about seeing the accused with bicycle and pickaxe immediately prior to the incident and also after incident and accused himself has confessed before the I.O. about committing murder of the deceased and as per his statement only I.O. has recovered the bicycle used by the accused at the time of alleged incident and also pickaxe used by the accused for committing murder of the deceased and PW.6 and 7 have deposed in detail about recovery of the bicycle and pickaxe in their presence as per the say of the accused.

Therefore, there is sufficient material to show that, the accused himself has committed the murder of the deceased thereby he is liable for conviction.

32. On the other hand, learned counsel for accused has argued stating that, infact there was dispute between deceased Bhagyalakshmi and her husband because of which she was residing in her parents house and PW.3 has admitted about illicit contact of the deceased with the PW.4 Mahadevaswamy @ Dwaraki. Therefore, there is sufficient material to show that deceased had got somany enemies and there is no any evidence produced by the prosecution to connect the accused to the death of the deceased Bhagyalakshmi. Even PW.6 and 7 are not the independent witnesses. Therefore, their evidence is not believable. Hence, there is no material to show that, accused has committed the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C.

33. On perusal of the material available on record and statements of learned Public Prosecutor and counsel for accused it appears to me that, with regard to death of the deceased Bhagyalakshmi due to the injuries sustained by her as mentioned in the P.M. report marked at Ex.P.60, Inquest Mahazar witnesses and Medical officer who conducted postmortem examination have deposed in detail. Therefore, from the evidence of Inquest Mahazar witnesses and evidence of PW.14 it clearly appears that deceased Bhagyalakshmi had sustained injuries mentioned in P.M.report and died due to said injuries sustained by her and her death is homicidal.

34. With regard to evidence to connect the accused to the death of the deceased is concerned PW.1 has deposed about the accused person forcing her to love him and marry him and deceased opposed for their marriage and accused was having grudge against the deceased Bhagyalakshmi.

35. Further, PW.4 Mahadevaswamy @ Dwaraki has deposed about seeing the accused going on bicycle with pickaxe on the day of incident. He has further deposed about seeing the accused when they went for searching the deceased.

36. Further more, I.O. has recorded the statement of the accused as per Ex.P.64 in which the accused has admitted about committing murder of the deceased and producing bicycle and pickaxe used by him during the said incident. Even PW.5 who is the father of the deceased Bhagyalakshmi has deposed regarding police recording statement of the accused in his presence and accused confessing about committing murder of his daughter by using pickaxe. In addition to deposition of PW.5, PW.6 and 7 who are the Seizure Mahazar witnesses have deposed in detail regarding accused taking them to the place of incident, the place where the dead body of the deceased was kept and also taking to his house and producing bicycle and pickaxe

by confessing about using the said bicycle and pickaxe during the said incident. Therefore, as the I.O. has recovered the bicycle and pickaxe as per the confession statement of the accused is admissible and PW.6 and 7 have deposed in detail regarding the recovery of the articles in the mahazar marked at Ex.P.31 as per the confession statement of the accused and they both have identified the bicycle and pickaxe recovered in their presence.

37. Therefore, evidence of PW.1, 4, 6 and 7 is sufficient to show that as the deceased was opposing for the marriage of the accused and PW.1 with intention to teach a lesson and eliminate her on 25.08.2021 in the evening when deceased had been for walking and nature call accused himself has assaulted the deceased with pickaxe and because of the injuries sustained in the said incident the deceased has died and thereafter with intention to destroy the evidence the accused took the dead body of the deceased and

hidden the same in a channel pipe thereby accused has committed the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C. Therefore, the prosecution has produced sufficient material to show that, accused has committed the offence punishable under Sections 302 and 201 of I.P.C. Hence, accused is liable for **conviction** for the said offences. Therefore, **Point No.1 and 2 are answered in the Affirmative.**

38. **POINT NO.3:-** In view of my findings on the Point, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

Acting U/sec.235(2) of Cr.P.C. the accused is hereby convicted for the offences punishable under Section 302 and 201 of I.P.C.

[Dictated to the Stenographer, directly on computer, corrected and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the **8th day of May, 2026**].

(MALLANAGOUDA)
II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mysuru.

ORDERS ON SENTENCE

This is the case in which the T.Narasipura Police have filed Charge-Sheet against the present accused for the offences punishable U/sec.302 and 201 of I.P.C., in which now after trial accused is convicted for the offences punishable U/sec.302 and 201 of I.P.C.

2. When the matter was posted for hearing on sentence the learned Public Prosecutor has submitted stating that as the accused person has committed murder of his neighbour without any reason, hence it is necessary to impose maximum sentence on accused.

3. On the other hand, the learned Counsel for the accused has submitted that as accused is a poor and illiterate person, he has got many dependents like mother and unmarried sister. Therefore, it is necessary to show leniency while convicting him.

4. By considering the submission of the learned Public Prosecutor and the learned Counsel for accused, it appears to me that, the offences for which accused is convicted is under Sec.302 and 201 of I.P.C and accused has committed murder of his neighbour that too for a silly reason. Therefore, it is necessary to impose maximum sentence. However, as this is not

the rarest of rare cases it is decided to impose sentence of life imprisonment instead of death sentence. Hence, it is decided to impose sentence of imprisonment for life for the offence punishable under Sec.302 of I.P.C and 5 years imprisonment for offence under Sec.201 of I.P.C and also fine. Further as deceased has left her two children who are PW.1 and PW.8 hence it is decided to direct for payment of compensation of Rs.1,00,000/- out of the fine amount in favour PW.1 and PW.8. and make a request to DLSA for payment of necessary compensation to PW.1 and PW.8 U/sec.357(A) of Cr.PC. Hence, the following:-

ORDER

The accused is directed to undergo imprisonment for life and pay fine of Rs.75,000/-, in default of payment of fine he shall undergo simple imprisonment for another two years for the offence punishable U/Sec.302 of I.P.C.

Further accused is directed to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of 5 years and pay fine of Rs.25,000/-, in default of payment of fine he shall undergo simple

imprisonment for another one year for the offence punishable U/Sec.201 of I.P.C.

All the sentence shall run concurrently.

Out of the fine amount Rs.1,00,000/- is ordered to be paid to the PW.1 and PW.8 equally as compensation.

Further, the DLSA is requested to pay necessary compensation to PW.1 and PW.8 U/sec.357(A) of Cr.PC.

M.O.1 to 10 and 12 are being worthless ordered to be destroyed after appeal period.

M.O.11 is confiscated to state after appeal period and if not required in another connected matter.

M.O.13 is ordered to be returned to accused after appeal period.

Office is directed to issue free copy of the Judgment to the accused person immediately and send copy of judgment to DLSA, Mysuru.

Office is directed to issue conviction warrant.

File be consigned to Record Room.

[Dictated to the Stenographer, directly on computer, corrected and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this the **13th day of May, 2026**].

(MALLANAGOUDA)

II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mysuru.

ANNEXURE

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION:

P.W.1	:	Poojashri
P.W.2	:	Shivanna
P.W.3	:	Nagamani
P.W.4	:	Mahadevaswamy @ Dwaraki
P.W.5	:	Madaiah
P.W.6	:	Javaregowda
P.W.7	:	Shashikumar
P.W.8	:	Shreyas
P.W.9	:	Nagaraju @ Kurakure
P.W.10	:	Sanju
P.W.11	:	Dr. Chandrashekar
P.W.12	:	Lokesha

P.W.13 : P. Ramesh
P.W.14 : Dr. Bharathi
P.W.15 : Manju H.D
P.W.16 : Prabhakar .C
P.W.17 : Krishnappa N.G

LIST OF DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF PROSECUTION:

Ex.P.1 : Complaint
Ex.P.1[a] : Signature of PW.1
Ex.P.1[b] : Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.2 : Spot mahazar
Ex.P.2[a] : Signature of PW.1
Ex.P.2[b] : Signature of PW.2
Ex.P.2[c] : Signature of PW.17
Ex.P.3 to P.11 : Photographs
Ex.P.12 : Inquest Mahazar
Ex.P.12[a] : Signature of PW.17
Ex.P.13 : Spot Mahazar
Ex.P.13[a] : Signature of PW.6
Ex.P.13[b] : Signature of PW.7
Ex.P.13[c] : Signature of PW.16
Ex.P.13[d] : Signature of PW.17
Ex.P.14 to : Photographs

P.21

- Ex.P.22** : Spot mahazar
- Ex.P.22[a]** : Signature of PW.6
- Ex.P.22[b]** : Signature of PW.7
- Ex.P.22[c]** : Signature of PW.16
- Ex.P.22[d]** : Signature of PW.17
- Ex.P.23 to P.30** : Photographs
- Ex.P.31** : Seizure mahazar
- Ex.P.31[a]** : Signature of PW.6
- Ex.P.31[b]** : Signature of PW.7
- Ex.P.31[c]** : Signature of PW.16
- Ex.P.31[d]** : Signature of PW.17
- Ex.P.32 to P.37** : Photographs
- Ex.P.38** : Request letter for preparation of sketch
- Ex.P.39** : Request letter for Demand extract
- Ex.P.40** : Statement of PW.9
- Ex.P.40[a]** : Portion of statement of PW.9
- Ex.P.40[b]** : Portion of statement of PW.9
- Ex.P.41** : Statement of PW.9
- Ex.P.42** : Certificate under Sec.65(b)
- Ex.P.42[a]** : Signature of PW.10

Ex.P.42[b]	: Signature of PW.17
Ex.P.43	: Payment receipt
Ex.P.43[a]	: Signature of PW.10
Ex.P.44 to P.52	: Photographs
Ex.P.53 & P.54	: D.V.D
Ex.P.55	: FSL Report
Ex.P.56	: FSL Report
Ex.P.56[a]	: Signature of PW.11
Ex.P.56[b]	: Sample seal
Ex.P.56[c]	: Sample seal
Ex.P.57	: Seizure Mahazar
Ex.P.57[a]	: Signature of PW.12
Ex.P.57[b]	: Signature of PW.17
Ex.P.58	: Police notice
Ex.P.58[a]	: Signature of PW.12
Ex.P.59	: F.I.R.
Ex.P.59[a]	: Signature of PW.15
Ex.P.60	: P.M. Report
Ex.P.60[a]	: Signature of PW.14
Ex.P.60[b]	: Signature of PW.17
Ex.P.61	: Requisition of I.O
Ex.P.61[a]	: Signature of PW.14

- Ex.P.62** : Opinion
- Ex.P.62[a]** : Signature of PW.14
- Ex.P.63** : Report
- Ex.P.63[a]** : Signature of PW.15
- Ex.P.64** : Voluntary Statement of accused
- Ex.P.64[a]** : Signature of PW.17
- Ex.P.64[b]** : Signature of accused
- Ex.P.65** : Medical examination report of accused
- Ex.P.66** : 164 statement of PW.4

LIST OF WITNESSES EXAMINED ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED:

NIL

LIST OF DOCUMENTS MARKED ON BEHALF OF ACCUSED:

- Ex.D1** : Portion of statement of PW.8
& 2
- Ex.D3** : Photographs
to D5

LIST OF MATERIAL OBJECTS MARKED IN THE CASE:

- MO.1** : Blood stained leafs and long hair mixed sticks
- MO.2** : Coffee colour 10 bangle pieces
- MO.3** : Cream colour 9 inch Slippers

- MO.4** : Light kaki colour umbrella
- MO.5** : Sample mud
- MO.6** : Blood stained mud
- MO.7** : Gunny bag
- MO.8** : Nighty
- MO.9** : T-shirt
- MO.10** : Nikkar
- MO.11** : L-coat (Guddali)
- MO.12** : Vaginal swab
- MO.13** : Micro Max mobile

(MALLANAGOUDA)

II Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mysuru.