

27 Cr. Case 140/2026
STATE Vs. KAUSHALYA
FIR No. 548/2024
(Anand Parbat)

13.03.2026

Present: Ms. Arunima Goel, Ld. APP for State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel Sh. Sandeep Nehra.

Matter is at the stage of appearance of accused/compliance of Section 230 BNSS.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of accused. Same is taken on record.

In compliance of Section 230 BNSS, copy of chargesheet alongwith documents has been supplied to the accused against acknowledgement.

Arguments heard on the point of charge.

It is argued by Ld. Counsel for the accused that the accused is innocent and deserves to be discharged.

Per contra, Ld. APP has argued that there is sufficient material on record to put the accused person on trial and charge **U/s. 33 Delhi Excise** is prima facie made out.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

From the perusal of the entire record and after hearing the arguments, I am of the considered opinion that charge **U/s. 33 Delhi Excise** is prima-facie is made out against the accused person.

Charge is separately framed. The same is read over and explained to the accused person in vernacular language to which the accused person has pleaded not guilty and claimed trial.

Contd.....

At this stage, the accused is called upon to admit/deny the genuineness of the documents. Separate statement recorded under Section 294 CrPC/330 BNSS. Accordingly, the witnesses mentioned at serial No. 4, 5, 6 and 7 stand dropped from the list of witnesses.

Let witnesses at serial no. 1 and 2 as per list of witnesses be summoned through SHO concerned for NDOH.

Let MHC(M) be also summoned along with case property for NDOH.

Put up for PE on 02.06.2026.

[ANKUR PANGHAL]
JMFC-05(West)
THC/Delhi/13.03.2026