

As per order dated 11.04.2023 witness recalled and duly sworn

**FURTHER EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY Sri.BRD
ADVOCATE FOR DEFENDANT No.2(a) & 2(b):-**

Ex.D.2	Medical Bill of Jayadeva Pharmacy
Ex.D.3	Eco Cardiac report
Ex.D.4	Out patient department
Ex.D.5	Bio chemistry report(containing 2 pages)

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY SRI.KNHB ADVOCATE FOR PLAINTIFF:

I do not know after sanction of the loan my father was expired. It is false to suggest that in para No.6 of my affidavit, I have stated on 16.03.2016 my father was stood as guarantor. It is false to suggest that in para No.6 & 8 of my affidavit, I have stated on 16.03.2016 loan was sanctioned in favour of the defendant No.1. The contents of the para No.6 & 8 of my affidavit are false. I have produced the medical documents to show prior to his death, my father was not going outside for 6 months. It is true the document which placed by me are relating to one Hussain. Witness volunteers Hussain is none other than my father. It is true I have not produced other than the medical certificate to show one Hussain is my father. It is false to suggest that the documents which are marked as Ex.D.2 to D.5 are not belongs to my father. It is true the Ex.D.2 to D.5 are not reflects that my father was suffering from serious ill health and was unable to go outside from the

house.

I am not aware the defendant No.1 had been to the bank and got renewed the loan which availed by him as per Ex.P.6. I have not given any information to the bank about death of my father. It is false to suggest that the documents which are marked as Ex.D.2 to D.5 are the created documents for the purpose of the case. It is false to suggest that though I am very well knowing the defendant No.1 and where he is residing inspite of the same avoiding to keep present the defendant No.1 before the Court. It is false to suggest that in order to escape our liability intentionally we have not given any information in respect of defendant No.1 to the bank. It is false to suggest that I am not fully aware of the contents of the affidavit filed by me as my chief examination. It is false to suggest that the contents which appeared in the affidavit filed by me as my chief examination are false. The affidavit filed by me is prepared by my counsel and it is false to suggest I am not aware of the contents of the affidavit filed by me as my chief examination. It is false to suggest that my counsel has prepared the affidavit and as per the say of my counsel I am giving false evidence before the Court. It is false to suggest that in order to escape our liabilities I am giving false evidence.

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open court.)

R.O.I & A.C.,

**(P.J. SOMASHEKARA)
LXXXVIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE,
BENGALURU.**