

IN THE COURT OF III ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,

MYSURE.

S.C. No.92/2018

DEPOSITION OF P.W. -07

C.W. -35

Name of the Witness : Manjula
Husband's Name : Yuvaraja Ajri
Age : 65 Years
Occupation : Retd. Tahasildar
Residence : Mysuru.

DULY SWORN ON: 16-03-2019

Sec.299 evidence.

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR :

From 06-02-2012 to 08-04-2013 I was working as Addl. Tahasildar Mysuru Taluk.

2. On 23-03-2013 I received intimation from JMFC 2nd Court to conduct IP parade of Accused No.1 – Ramesh and A-2- Parandaman, through complainant – Reshama Banu. Accordingly, I issued notices fixing the date of IP parade on 04-04-2013 at 11-00 am, in District prison. I issued the said notice to complainant and also to Superintendent of Mysuru District Prison.

3. Accordingly, on 04-04-2013 at 11-00 am I conducted the IP parade of A-1 and 2. In the said IP parade A-1 and 2 were shown separately to the complainant – Reshma Banu in 3 rounds and in each round A-1 and 2 were placed in different position along with 9 other under trials. The complainant identified A-1 and 2 correctly in all the 3 rounds. In this regard I prepared memorandum of IP which was signed by complainant and myself.

4. The other under trails who were made to stand along with A-1 and 2 were selected on the basis of similar physical features and said selection of other under trial was made by me. In the first round all the 10 persons were made to stand and in the second round their places were interchanged and in the 3rd round they were made to change clothes each other and their position were also changed. In this manner 3 rounds were conducted where A-1 was made to stand with 9 other persons of similar physical features and in all 3 rounds complainant identified him.

5. Then similarly A-2 was made to stand with 9 other persons of similar physical features and in all 3 rounds complainant identified him.

6. Before conducting the IP I enquired the complainant whether she is eyewitness and whether she can identify the Accused.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE COUNSEL FOR THE ACCUSED- :

7. No cross examination since this is Sec.299 evidence.

RE-EXAMINATION : NIL

(Computerized to my dictation in the Open Court as deposed by the Witness)

R.O.I & A.C.,

III Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mysuru.

WITNESS RECALLED IN AFTERNOON SESSION ON -2018 AND DULY SWORN.

FURTHER CHIEF EXAMINATION IN CHIEF BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR:

