

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 21.11.2019.**Cross-examination by Sri. V.B. Advocate for R-14:-**

10. It is not true to suggest that, no information was being given to R-14 with regard to the monthly meetings of Trust. It is true to suggest that, R-14 had issued a notice as per the document now confronted to me and the said notice is marked at Ex.R.25. It is true to suggest that, as per the document now confronted to me, we have replied the said notice and said document is marked at Ex.R.26. It is true to suggest that, as per the document now confronted to me, R-14 has issued a reply to Ex.R.26 and the said document is marked at Ex.R.27.

11. It is not true to suggest that, in order to have vengeance against R-14, we have issued such notice to him. It is not true to suggest that, R-14 has been temporarily suspended in violation of procedure and rules as well as terms of Trust deed.

12. It is not true to suggest that, I alone use to look after the entire affairs of the Trust. The accounts of Trust have not been subjected to audit till this date. It is not true to suggest that, my self and other trustees i.e., R-1 to R-13 are acting contrary to the terms of Trust deed.

TYPED TO MY DICTATION IN THE OPEN COURT.

R. O. I. & A. C.,

21.11.2019

PRL. DISTRICT JUDGE, MYSURU.