

Bail Application No. 198/2025
State Vs. Arjun Rana
FIR No. 0077/2025
PS Gandhi Nagar
U/s 109/110/115(2)/126(2)/3(5)/
190/191(2)/351 BNS

11.03.2025

In view of the order of Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge, East, KKD Courts, Delhi bearing no. 1371-1397/Judl/EAST/KKD/Delhi/2025, dated 31.01.2025, this application has been put up before the undersigned.

Present:- Sh. S.K. Tripathi, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Vinod Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused.
IO/SI Rahul Tomar in person.
Injured Vikas in person.

Reply of the application has been filed.

Counsel for accused has submitted that no other bail application of the accused is pending either before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi or before any other court; that the accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case; that the accused is in custody since 18.02.2025; that earlier the FIR was lodged under Section 110 of BNS and during the investigation, Section 109 BNS was added; that the victims have amicably settled the dispute with the accused persons; that injured Chunnu has gone to his native place in Bihar, however, he has also settled the dispute with the accused persons; that the accused and the injured persons live in same locality and the incident happened due to misunderstanding; that now the parties have settled their dispute amicably and therefore, no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody, hence, the accused may be admitted to bail.

Contd.....1/3

Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the State opposed the application arguing that the accused has been named in the FIR and he is also seen beating the injured persons in the CCTV footage and that injured namely Vikas had suffered grievous.

I have heard the arguments and have gone through the material available on the record.

Allegedly, the offenders including the accused had beaten up the injured persons with sticks and belts and due to the beating, the complainant/injured had become unconscious on the spot itself.

Moreover, the accused is seen in CCTV footage, while committing the offence. Apart from this, it has been submitted by the IO that co-accused persons namely Punit Rana, who is the real brother of accused, Mohd. Farhan and one another unidentified offender, seen in the CCTV footage are still absconding and the sticks used in the commission of the offence are also yet to be recovered.

Today, the victim Vikas has appeared before the court without any notice issued by the court and this fact bolsters the allegations that accused had gone to the factory of the victims and had threatened them, as mentioned in the reply.

IO has also submitted that the mobile phone, in which the video clip of the injured persons was recorded after the incident, was also recovered from the possession of the accused.

Therefore, in view of above discussion and the facts and circumstances of this case, this court is not inclined to admit the accused Arjun Rana to bail. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

-3-

File be consigned to record room.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(Subhash Kumar Mishra)
Additional Sessions Judge-03,
East, KKD Courts, Delhi
11.03.2025