

KAMD710000992019



Presented on : 19-01-2019  
Registered on : 19-01-2019  
Decided on : 06-04-2026  
Duration : 7 years, 2 months, 18 days

**IN THE COURT OF  
I ADDL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE Srirangapattana AT  
SHRIRANGAPATTANA, MANDYA  
Presided Over by KRISHNA MURTHY N**

**F.D.P/3/2019**

**ORDER**

Case is advanced and taken up on board through advanced application moved by the counsel for petitioner filling application U/s 151 of CPC.

Counsel for petitioner and Respondent No.2 are present.

Petitioner and Respondent No.2 have filed joint compromise petition U/o 23 Rule 3 of CPC where under which parties have amicably settled their dispute by the way of compromise thereby petitioner has relinquished her 1/5th share in and over the petition properties, in favour of Respondent No.2 by receiving amount and hence, seek to close the final decree proceeding in terms of compromise petition.

Contents of the compromise are explained and read over in turn they admitted it as true and correct.

Court is of the opinion that, compromise is lawful and not against any law.

On perusal records, order sheets and materials it reveal that, Respondent No. 6 to 8 are the purchaser of Item No.12 of suit schedule property and in so far as Item No.12 is concerned, suit of the plaintiff was dismissed in RA 5001/2019 on the file of Hon'ble III ADJ, Srirangapatna. So, they were made as formal parties to the present petition.

On other hand, 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent is the only contesting party and rest of the respondents remained absent.

Therefore, Court proceed to pass following,

### **ORDER**

Joint compromise petition U/o 23 Rule 3 of CPC is accepted.

Thereby, present final decree proceedings initiated by virtue of preliminary decree passed in OS.No.46/2012 is closed in terms of compromise petition.

**(KRISHNA MURTHY N.)**  
**I Addl.Senior Civil Judge,**  
**Srirangapatna,**  
**Dt:06.04.2026.**