

KAMD700002002026



**IN THE COURT OF THE
III ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, MANDYA,
[SITTING AT SRIRANGAPATNA].**

Dated this the 25th day of April 2026.

PRESENT

Smt. Jyothsna D, LLB, LLM, DFA.
III Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Mandya,
[Sitting at Srirangapatna].

Crl.Misc./5111/2026

Petitioner : Rajesh S/o Suresh, Aged about 34
years, Residing near Ganapathi
Temple, Mogarahalli Mandi
Village, Belagola Hobli,
Srirangapatna Taluk, Mandya
District 571 438.

By Smt. Shambhavi G S, Advocate.

-Vs-

Respondent: State of Karnataka,
By Pandavapura Police Station,
Mandya District.

By the learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

The present bail petition is filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita seeking bail in Cr.No.89 of 2026 of the respondent Police Station for the offences punishable under Section 179, 180 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

2. In the bail petition, it is contended that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed the offences alleged against him and he has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner has reiterated the complaint averments and contended that he is the permanent resident of the address mentioned in the cause title to the petition. There is legal error and non compliance of Section 105 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita by the Police. Entire case is based on confession statement and there absolutely no independent witnesses to prove the alleged raid and seizure to be genuine. Inter-alia on all these grounds as has been cited herein above, the petitioner seeks bail.

3. Whereas, the learned Public Prosecutor in her objection reiterated the contents of the complaint and contended that a case came to be registered against the petitioner in Cr.No.89 of 2026 for the offences punishable under Section 179 and 180 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita. The offence under Section 179 is punishable with imprisonment for life or imprisonment for ten years and with fine and Section 180 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita is punishable with imprisonment for up to 7 years and with fine. They are triable by the Sessions Court and non-bailable in nature. At this stage, there are reasonable

grounds to believe that the petitioner has committed the alleged offences. If the petitioner is released on bail he may threaten the prosecution witnesses or he may abscond by violating the bail conditions and also commit similar offences. Inter-alia on these grounds the learned Public Prosecutor prays for rejecting of the bail petition.

4. Heard arguments of both the side. Perused the material on record.

5. On the basis of the above materials, the following points arise for determination of this Court;

1. Whether there are grounds to enlarge the petitioner on bail?
2. What order?

6. The findings of this Court to the aforesaid points for consideration are as under;

Point No.1 :In the negative.

Point No.2 : As per final order, for the following:

REASONS

7. **Point No.1:** A case was registered before respondent-police station on **89.....** of 2026 in Cr.No.89 of 2026 against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Section 179 and 180 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

on the basis of complaint lodged by one Prasannakumar.A.N.

8. The sum and substance of the FIR is that when the complainant was on patrol duty on 11.03.2026 at 2.15 p.m. he received credible information from the informant that one Rajesh who wore white shirt with floral prints on it and blue colour pant would come in his Axis Scooter near KHB Layout within the jurisdiction of Kennalu Grama Panchayath near Harohalli Village, Kasaba Hobli, Pandavapura Taluk, to circulate counterfeit currency notes. Accordingly, a complaint came to be registered.

9. It is relevant to note here that the accused had come to the spot in an Axis Scooter along with counterfeit currency notes. On the basis of complaint in the presence of Panchayathdars complainant Police went to the place of and arrested the accused and recovered 100 counterfeit currency notes of the denomination of 500 rupees.

10. On perusal of materials available on record, mahazar came to drawn wherein 100 counterfeit currency notes of 500 rupees denominations have been recovered from the petitioner. He has stated that said notes were given to him by one Rudresh and he gave Rs.2,50,000/-

counterfeit currency notes to hand over the same to his friend Ravi. Therefore, he came to the place of offence where Ravi resides. Rudresh hails from Shimogga District which facts shows involvement of other accused persons in the present case.

11. At this stage, if the present petitioner is enlarged on bail, definitely there are chances of he committing similar type of offences and absconding. The offence alleged is danger to the Society at large which impacts on the economic status of the Nation at wide. It is also to be noted that the petitioner has already used six counterfeit currency notes of the denomination of 500 rupees which totally amounts to Rs.3,000/-.

12. The Police report shows that he is facing trial in Cr.No. 103 of 2022, 128 of 2022 and 214 of 2023 for the offences punishable under Section 489 [A], [B], [C], 420 IPC which shows the fact that he is in the habit of committing similar offences repeatedly. Further at the time of investigation the present petitioner shown the Room of Ravi stating that Rudresh gave him Ganja and the same is kept in his room. Through him 850 grams of Ganja was seized from Ravi's house. In this regard case is registered in Crime No.89 of 2026 before Pandavapura police station. The quantity is commercial in nature. It is

alleged that the petitioner is involved in such a heinous cases which has bad impact on society and as such the younger generations are exposed to the world of drugs. His repeated participation in such an offences shows that the petitioner has not made out grounds to enlarge him on bail. Hence, this Court is of the opinion that there are no grounds made out for enlarging the petitioner on bail and it is right on the part of this Court to reject the bail petition filed by the petitioner. Accordingly, point No.1 is answered in the negative.

13. **Point No.2 :** In view of the findings on point No.1 in the negative, this Court proceeds to pass the following;

ORDER

The bail petition filed by the petitioner under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, is hereby rejected.

[Typed to my dictation by the Stenographer Grade-1 directly on Computer, corrected by me and then pronounced in open Court on this the 25th day of April, 2026]

[Jyothsna D]

III Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mandya, [Sitting at Srirangapatna].