

KAMD600008882025



**IN THE COURT OF PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE
AND J.M.F.C., AT PANDAVAPURA.**

Dated : this the 2nd day of April 2026

: PRESENT :

SRI. R.MAHESHA. B.A.L, LL.B.,
Prl. Senior Civil Judge & JMFC,
Pandavapura.

M.C.No.66/2025

- PETITIONERS :
- 1) N.Lokesh.
S/o Nanjundegowda,
Aged about 33 years,
R/o Harohalli Village,
Kasaba Hobli,
Pandavapura Town,
Mandya District.
 - 2) Anjali
W/o N.Lokesh,
D/o Yogendra,
Aged about 21 years,
R/o Harohalli Village,

Kasaba Hobli,
Pandavapura Town,
Mandya District.

(Rep. by Sri.G.M., Advocate)

V/s

RESPONDENT : - Nil -

ORDERS

This petition is filed U/sec.13(B) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 seeking decree of divorce by dissolving the marriage between the petitioner No.1 & 2 solemnized on 22.08.2024.

2. Petition in brief :-

Petitioners marriage was solemnized on 22.08.2024 at Sri. Anjaneyaswamy temple, Chathranahalli village, Pandavapura taluk, Mandya District as per Hindu customary rites and rituals. After marriage, petitioners lived together in matrimonial house as husband and wife for a period of 1 year and thereafter there is a difference between themselves and they lived separately and there is no understanding between them. As such they have decided to get the decree of divorce by mutual consent. Further they submitted that there is no chances of reunion between themselves and there is no possibility of living together as husband and wife. Hence this petition.

3. Many opportunities were given to petitioners to reconcile their dispute by referring the matter to conciliation, but it went in vein. Hence, matter was posted to record the evidence.

4. The petitioner No.1 and 2 examined themselves as PW1 and PW2 respectively and got marked the documents as per Ex.P1 to Ex.P5.

5. Heard the arguments. Perused the petition averments and the evidence on record.

6. On the basis of petition averments, following points have been framed:-

1. Whether the petitioners are entitled for decree of divorce by way of mutual consent?
2. Whether the petition is hit by collusion between the parties?
3. What order or decree?

7. My findings on the above points are as follows:-

Point No.1 : In the Affirmative,

Point No.2 : In the Negative;

Point No.3 : As per final order for the following:-

REASONS

8. Point No.1:- The fact of marriage between the petitioners is not in dispute. It is also supported by Ex.P1 Marriage Invitation Card, Ex.P2 photos, Ex.P3 notarized copy of aadhar card of 1st petitioner, Ex.P4 notarized copy of aadhar card of 2nd petitioner and Ex.P5 CD. Hence, I have no reasons to disbelieve the marital relationship between petitioners.

9. It is the specific case of the petitioners that, after the marriage they lead marital life. Later, due to some differences, their relationship was in strain and they started to live separately. There is no chance of restitution of conjugal rights between the petitioners. They are living separately for a period of one year prior to presentation of the petition.

10. In support of their contention, petitioners have examined themselves as PW1 and PW2. They have reiterated the above facts in their evidence. Both the petitioners have deposed that they lived together only for one year cordially and they have no issues. Since one year, they got separated. There is no chance to reconcile. Hence, they decided to get divorce by mutual consent and both have not claimed any maintenance and it could not possible to live as husband and wife. The 2nd petitioner has waived all her right over the petitioner No.1 and his property along with maintenance and petitioners mutually agreed that they will not claim any rights over movable and immovable properties.

11. The evidence placed on record clearly indicates that both the petitioners have agreed to get their marriage dissolved by mutual consent. They are residing separately for a period of one year, prior to institution of the petition. Their conduct indicates that they had no intention of reunion. I have no reasons to disbelieve the evidence of PW1 and PW2. The marital relationship between the petitioners is completely broken. There exist no love, affection and care. Considering the conduct of the parties, it is just and necessary to dissolve the marriage between the petitioners by way of mutual consent, in the interest of their future. Accordingly, I answer this Point in the **Affirmative**.

12. Point No.2:- The petitioner No.1 is educated and petitioner No.2 is uneducated. Both having worldly knowledge. It appears that they have consciously and with due knowledge, have filed the petition without sort of collusion. The petitioners have permanently settled the issue of maintenance. Their conduct indicates that they have decided to end the marital relationship. Hence, I answer this Point in the **Negative**.

13. Point No.3 :- For the reasons assigned above, I proceed to pass the following :-

O R D E R

The petition filed by the petitioners U/Sec.13(B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is allowed.

The marriage dated 22.08.2024 solemnized between the petitioner No.1 and 2 is dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent.

Parties to bear their costs own.

Draw decree accordingly.

(Directly dictated to Stenographer through online, the same is corrected and then pronounced by me in the open court on **02.04.2026**)

(R.MAHESHA)
Prl. Senior Civil Judge and
J.M.F.C., Pandavapura.

ANNEXURE**List of witnesses examined for petitioners :-**

PW1 : N.Lokesh
PW2 : Anjali

List of documents exhibited for petitioners :-

Ex.P1 : Marriage Invitation Card
Ex.P2 : Photos
Ex.P3 : Notarized copy of aadhar card of 1st petitioner
Ex.P4 : Notarized copy of aadhar card of 2nd petitioner
Ex.P5 : CD

List of witnesses examined for the respondent :-

NIL

List of documents exhibited for the respondent :-

NIL

(R.MAHESHA)

Prl. Senior Civil Judge and
J.M.F.C., Pandavapura.

Order pronounced in the open Court
(vide separate Order)

O R D E R

The petition filed by the petitioners U/Sec.13(B) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is allowed.

The marriage dated 22.08.2024 solemnized between the petitioner No.1 and 2 is dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent.

Parties to bear their costs own.

Draw decree accordingly.

Prl. Senior Civil Judge and
J.M.F.C., Pandavapura.